राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सडक परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली 110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Goyt, of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2346 1600, www.nhidcl.com





सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम

TPF

Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

Golf Course Road,

A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

Dated: 14.06.2019

NHIDCL/Silkyara Tunnel/AE/2018

Getinsa

Unit 305, Suncity Business Tower,

Sector-54, Gurgaon-122002,

72-76 Rue Henry Farman, 75015 Paris France

pemsggn@gmail.com

indiacentral@tpfingenieria.com

M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants

apa@systra.com,

S.L. in association With M/s Rodic

To,

M/s

Email-

Pvt Ltd

Email-

| Euroestudios | M/s Energy Infratech Pvt. Ltd. In | M/s AYESA |
|---------------|--|--------------------------------|
| th M/s Rodic | association with M/s Meinhardt Singapore | Ingenieria Y |
| | Pte. Ltd. | Arquitecture |
| ness Tower, | A-39, Sector-64, Noida-201301 | S.A.U. In |
| | Uttar Pradesh, India | association with |
| 2002, | Email- | SA Infrastructure |
| | sonia.aggarwal@energyinfratech.com | Consultants Pvt. |
| eria.com | | Ltd. |
| | | Plot No. D-99, 4 th |
| ociation with | M/s Beaver Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | Floor , Sector-2, |
| g Consultants | Anagha, Plot no.1, road No.2, Sector-11, | Noida, |
| | New Panvel (E), Navi Mumbai-410206. | Gautam Buddha |
| an, | Maharashtra, India. | Nagar-201301 (UP) |
| 200 | Email- Beavermubai@gmail.com | Email- |
| @systra.com, | | delhi@ayesa.com |

Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for supervision of Construction, Subject: Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend -Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand" on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Mode. - Opening of Financial Bid - regarding.

Sir,

Please refer to your bid dated 30.01.2019 submitted on the subject cited project. Further to this office letter no. NHIDCL/Silkyara Tunnel/AE/2018 dated 08.03.2019. The following is the final list of technically responsive Bidders. The financial bids of technically responsive bidders shall be opened on 21.06.2019 (1530 hrs) in NHIDCL HQ, 3rd Floor PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

| Sr. No. | Name of Bidder | Technical Score | Status |
|------------|---|--------------------|--|
| 1 | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | 93.43 | Technically Responsive Eligible for opening of Financial bid as per Minutes of Meeting enclosed. |



| Sr. No. | Name of Bidder | Status | |
|------------|--|--------|--|
| 2 | M/s Energy Infratech Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. | 83.66 | Technically Responsive Eligible for opening of Financial bid as per Minutes of Meeting enclosed. |
| 3 | M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd | 70.49 | Non-Responsive as per Minutes of Meeting enclosed. |
| 4 | M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | | Non-Responsive as per Minutes of Meeting enclosed. |
| 5 | M/s Beaver Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | | Non-Responsive as per Minutes of Meeting enclosed. |

- 2. The copy of Minutes of Meeting (MoM) of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) dated 13.06.2019 referred above is also enclosed.
- 3. All the Authorized Representatives are requested to attend the opening of Financial Bids at the Scheduled date 21.06.2019 at (1530 hrs).

Yours Faithfully,

Y.C.Srivastava General Manager (T)

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd

Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for evaluating Technical Bids for "Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for supervision of Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend -Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.400 and Ch. 51.000 falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand" on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Mode held at NHIDCL on 13.06.2018, New Delhi (2nd meeting).

1. ETEC Committee in its meeting dated 06.03.2019 has recommended for technically responsive bidders. Consequent upon Approval of Competent Authority the technical score of the bidders along with minutes of meeting were uploaded on the website to submit the clarification/representation if any by the consultant on or before 15.03.2019 (15:30 hrs). The uploaded scores of respective consultants are tabulated below:

| Sr. No. | Name of Bidder | Technical Score | Status |
|------------|--|--------------------|---|
| 1 | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | 93.43 | Responsive |
| 2 | M/s Energy Infratech Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. | 77.88 | Responsive |
| 3 | M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd | 70.49 | Non-Responsive* |
| 4 | M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd | - | (i) The bidder has not qualified the minimum experience in Project supervision/independent Engineer/Authority's Engineer of Tunnel Project of aggregate length equal to 3 times of length (i.e. 14.577 km) as per Clause 11 (A), Sr.No. 1(a) of Section 1 of RFP. (ii) The bidder has not qualified the prepared DPR/Feasibility Study cum |

M

er Pa

labe

Ohl

| | | Preliminary Design Report for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel** work of 40% (i.e. 1.94 Km) of project length as per Clause 11 (A), Sr.No. 1(b) of Section 1 of RFP. |
|---|---|---|
| | | (ii) Therefore, Non-responsive. |
| 5 | M/s Beaver Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | M/s Beaver Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. has not created the INFRACON TEAM ID on INFRACON PORTAL. As per Clause No. 7.1 of LOI of RFP document the firm has to create team ID on INFRACON PORTAL for submitting their technical proposal (Experience of firm & Key Personnel). Since the M/s Beaver Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. has not created Team ID on INFRACON PORTAL. Therefore, the financial consultant has considered the bid as Non-Responsive. |

^{*} Six Key personnel of M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd i.e. Mr. Sindhu Mishra - (Resident Cum Tunnel Excavation expert), Mr. Turki Mohammad Said - (Tunnel Safety Expert), Mr. Christos Gioldasis (Sr. Geotechnical expert), Mr. Jang Bahadur Choudhary (Senior Geologist), Mr. Nishith Gupta (Tunnel Design Engineer) and Mr. Sumanto Dutta -(Instrumentation and Control SCADA Expert) could not score the minimum Qualifying marks of 75%. Hence, Consultant has been considered as Non Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (iv) (f) and Clause 5.3 of section 2 of RFP i.e. "In case more than 3 CV scores less than 75% marks or Team Leader cum Senior Tunnel Expert scores less than 75% marks, the proposal shall be considered non-responsive." And "Each responsive proposal shall be attributed a technical score (ST.) Only those Applicants whose Technical proposal score 75 marks or more out of 100 shall qualify for further consideration."

M

3 10 pm

Jalle

les

- 2. While uploading the results bidders were requested vide letter no. NHIDCL/Silkyara Tunnel/AE/2018 dated 08.03.2019, vide para 03 bidders were requested to submit their representation if any within 7 days .In response, three bidders viz. M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd., M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) in association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd and M/s Energy Infratech Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. submitted their representation along with supporting documents vide letters dated 14.03.2019,14.03.2019 & 15.03.2019 respectively. The same were handed over to the financial consultant to check their tenability.
- 3. Financial consultant vide its letter dated 07.06.2019 submitted their report (Annexure-I) with details as under:

| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | M/s TPF Getinsa | Euroestudios S.L. in association With N | l/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd | |
| (i) | Responsive | | | |
| | (i) Tunnel Safety Expert- Mr. Shamsha Ali has all relevant | undertaking confirming that the name of the key personnel as mentioned in | (i) The issue of mismatch of name of Mr. Shamshe Ali has been resolved as the key | We may agree with the remarks of Financial |
| | document viz. Pan Card, B.Tech certificate etc. with name of Mr. Shamshe Ali. Therefore, the key personnel required to submit an undertaking that both are same, as there is no created ID on the name of Shamshe Ali on Infracon Portal and will change his name mentioned on Infracon Portal accordingly. | and the name which has been shown | personnel did send a mail to the INRACON team to correct his name from 'Shamsha Ali' to 'Shamshe Ali'. The same was confirmed on INFRACON that the name has been changed to Shamshe Ali . Hence, as the issue of mismatch of names has been resolved, the CV is bring considered and 91 marks are being awarded to this CV. | Consultant. |

M & John com

laly

of le

| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|--|---|--|---|
| | (ii) Tunnel Design Engineer:- Mr. Jaime Otazua has all relevant document viz. Pan Card, B.Tech certificate etc. with name of Mr. Don Jaime Otazua Font. Therefore, the key personnel required to submit an undertaking that both are same, as there is no created ID on the name of Mr. Don Jaime Otazua Font on Infracon Portal | (ii) The key personnel has provided undertaking confirming that the name of the key personnel as mentioned in documents is correct i.e. Don Jaime Otazua Font and the name which has been shown on infracon profile i.e. Jaime Otazua, is a result of typographical error while creating his profile. For the same the key personnel has also requested to Infracon to change his name as written in his documents. | (ii) The key personnel was asked to resolve the issue of mismatch of name in his CV and in qualification degree. In response on which the key personnel has provided an undertaking stating that name on his qualification degree is correct and for the same he has requested INFRACON Team to change his name on CV as Don Jaime Otazua Font. On the basis of that undertaking the CV of this key personnel is being considered and accordingly 94 marks are being awarded. | |
| | and will change his name mentioned on Infracon Portal accordingly. (iii) Sr. Geotechnical Engineer:- Mr. Konstantinos Slergiopoulos has all relevant document viz. Pan Card, B.Tech certificate etc. with name of Mr. Constantinos B. Slergiopoulos. Therefore, the key personnel | (iii) The key personnel has provided undertaking confirming that the name of the key personnel as mentioned in documents is correct i.e. Constantinos Stergiopoulos and the name which has been shown on infracon profile i.e. Konstantinos Stergiopoulos, is a result of typographical error while creating his profile. For the same the key personnel has also requested to Infracon to change his name as written in his documents. | (iii) The key personnel was asked to resolve the issue of mismatch of name in his CV and in qualification degree. In response on which the key personnel has provided an undertaking stating that name on his qualification degree is correct and for the same he has requested INFRACON Team to change his name on CV as Constantinos Stergiopoulos. Further, it was also found that the name on ID proof, i.e. | |

IM & July.

OPOUT .

| Sr. | | | | |
|-----|--|---|---|--|
| No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
| | required to submit an undertaking that both are same, as there is no created ID on the name of Mr. Constantinos B. Slergiopoulos on Infracon Portal and will change his name mentioned on Infracon Portal accordingly. | | Passport, of this key personnel is mentioned as Konstantinos Stergiopoulos which is also an contradiction to the undertaking provided by him for changing his name. When clarification was take from the bidder the key personnel said that due to different English Codes his name was printed as Konstantinos Stergiopoulos instead of Constantinos Stergiopoulos. The authority may consider this CV on the basis of the undertaking and required verification may be done as per NHIDCL circular No.: NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/0 9/1606 dated 02.08.2018 for H1 bidder. However it is suggested that if this firm stands | |
| | | | correction in passport as submitted by Mr. Konstantinos Stergiopoulos may be done before his actual deployment. | |
| 2 | M/s AYESA Ingen Pvt. Ltd | iieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In a | | ure Consultants |
| (i) | (i) The bidder has not qualified the minimum experience in Project supervision/i ndependent Engineer/Aut hority's Engineer of Tunnel | The firm should have minimum experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/ Authority's Engineer of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 3 times (14.577 Km) or more of similar category** for which RFP is invited. The bidder has claimed the following project under this criterion:- A. Project Management for the extension of FGC to Terrassa, | The bidder has claimed the following project under this criterion:- A. Project on page no. 252 of bid document- This project is | We may agree with the remarks of Financial Consultant. |
| | Project of aggregate | Infrastructure, Superstructure of track and catenory. Stretch Terrassa-Rambla | not uploaded on Infracon and as per clause 7.1 of section 1 | |

9

B

ful on lable of le

| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|---|--|--|---|
| | length equal to 3 times of length (i.e. 14.577 km) as per Clause 11 | Tunnel /Can Roca and transfer stations RENFE CAN ROCA. (Pg. No. 252) | of RFP, the data uploaded on Infracon has only been evaluated. Hence, this project is not considered for evaluation. | |
| | (A), Sr.No. 1(a) of Section 1 of RFP. | B. Project Management Consultancy and Technical Assistance in the Operation of Metro Panama Line 1â€, Panama Metro, Contract No. SMP-31-2010 (Pg No. 260) | B. Project on page no. 260 which is uploaded on Infracon as project no. 28 has already been considered under this criterion. | |
| | | C. Technical Assistance and Project Management Contract for the Construction of the Detailed Design of Lines 1 & Line 2 of Malaga Metro, Spain (Pg No. 279). | C. As per the certificate uploaded on infracon portal for this project, the length of the tunnel work was not mentioned and therefore it was not considered for evaluation. Now, the bidder has claimed the same project on the basis of a supplementary certificate attached in bid document | |
| | | | from page no. 277 to 286, which was not uploaded by the bidder on INFRACON at the time of bidding. In this supplementary certificate (translated), length of the tunnel work has been mentioned as 10.5 Km but it is also mentioned that the tunnel work is under construction on the date of issuance of the certificate. As per the requirement of RFP the work has to be substantially completed within | |
| | | | last seven years whereas the certificate does not reveal whether the work of construction supervision has been completed within 7 years. Secondly, as the document | |

Am & feel som latter.

| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|--|---|---|---|
| | | | provided the construction work is still under progress and therefore this experience cannot be considered for DPR or Construction Supervision. | |
| | (ii) The | D. Project Management Consultancy & Assistance for Coordination and Support of the specialized consultancy services of the platform works for the High Speed Line Bobadilla-Granada/Spain. (Pg No. 291-292). | D. Tunnel work has been completed as mentioned in the certificate dated 30.09.2012 but the completion date of tunnel work is not mentioned in the certificate which may fall prior to last 7 years from the bid due date i.e. 31.01.2012. Hence, the claim cannot be considered for evaluation. | |
| | bidder has not qualified the prepared DPR/ Feasibility Study cum | (ii) The bidder has claimed the following projects under the criterion i.e. Firm should also have prepared DPR/Design/Feasibility Study/ for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel work of 40% (i.e. 1.94 km) | (ii) (A) In the Certificate dated 18.03.2013 uploaded on Infracon have following deficiencies:- (a) In the certificate it is | |
| | Preliminary Design Report for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel** work of 40% (i.e. 1.94 Km) of project length as per Clause 11 (A), Sr.No. 1(b) of | of project length: (A) Detailed Design and Supervision for Construction of Road Bed including two tunnels of 0.94km long (chainages 18.660-19.600 km) and 6.62km long (chainage 23.370-29.990 km) Obulavaripalle Venkatachalam New Railway Line on south Central Railway in Kadapaf Nellore district, in the state of Andhra Pradesh (INDIA) (Pg NO. 309)(Pr. No. 27). | mentioned that the design work has been completed and construction work is under progress. From this information it cannot be concluded that the design phase was completed within last 7 years from bid due date i.e. 31.01.2012. b). The bidder has also submitted a supplementary document i.e. certificate dated 28.08.2018 in the bid | |
| | Section 1 of RFP. (ii) Therefore, Non-responsive. | | document for the same project. In this certificate also it is mentioned that design work has been completed and construction work is under process. This data does not provide any clear information for completion of | |

Apr

By en

lally.

-Ohlv

| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|--------|--|--|---|
| | | (B) Detailed Design of the Victoria- Bilbao-San Sebastian High-speed railway Platform, Stretch: Mondragon- Bergara (P028/09) (Pg .No 322) | design work after 31.01.2012. Therefore, rejected. (B) Project on page no. 322 of bid document- This project is not uploaded on Infracon and therefore not considered for evaluation. Also, the evaluation process has been carried out only for the data which is uploaded on Infracon and the same has been discussed with the Authority. | |
| | | (C) Detail design of first line of metro within the framework of the integrated public transport system SITP –for the city of Bogota, D.C (Pg no. 330) | (C) Project on page no. 330 of bid document- This project is not uploaded on INFRACON and therefore not considered for evaluation. Also, the evaluation process has been carried out only for the data which is uploaded on INFRACON and the same has been discussed with the | |
| | | (D) Consultancy Services And Technical Assistance of the Detailed Design Of Line 1 of Underground Urban Transport Of Quito (Ecuador), Batch 1â€, Ecuador (Pg. No. 346) | Authority. (D) In the certificate provided it can be observed that Batch 1, Batch 2 and Batch 3 are part of Line 1 of underground urban transport and the total length of line 1 is 22 Km. However from the information contained in the certificate total batches in 22 km length and length of Batch 1, 2 and 3 cannot be determined. In absence of completion details the project cannot be considered for evaluation. | |

My Bylind on lattle of

| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | |
| 3 | | ech Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s Me | einhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. | |
| (i) | (i) Tunnel Safety Expert- Mr. JANARDHANAM VARATHARAJA N has all relevant document viz. Pan Card, B.Tech certificate etc. with name of Mr. V. Janardhanam. Therefore, the key personnel required to submit an undertaking that | (A) We hereby confirm that EIPL has an Experience in Construction supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority Engineer of a tunnel project during the last 7 years of minimum length equal to or more than 8.6 Km twin tunnel i.e. 8.6+8.6 =17.2 Km. | (A) As per RFP clause 2.1 of Detailed evaluation criteria of RFP i.e. "Experience in construction supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority's Engineer of a tunnel project during the last 7 years of minimum length equal to or more than 1.94 km in a single stretch ", it can be easily concluded that we need single tunnel of length more than 1.94 Km and as per the experience certificate claimed it is clearly mentioned that work of two tunnels each of 8.6 Km single stretch has been done. | We may agree with the remarks of Financial Consultant. |
| | both are same, as there is no created ID on the name of Mr. V. Janardhanam on Infracon Portal and will change his name mentioned on Infracon Portal accordingly. | (i) Tunnel Safety Expert:- As per technical result name of the tunnel safety Expert is mentioned is mentioned in Infracon ID as Janardhanam Varatharajan while in relevant document i.e. Pan Card, B. tech is Janardhanam V. We hereby confirm that full name of key professional is Janardhanam Varatharajan. (ii) E & M Expert:- As per marks evaluation sheet, certificate of automobile engineering of E & M expert | Therefore, as per RFP criterion single tunnel of length 8.6 km has been considered for evaluation. Hence, the claim is rejected and marks are not being revised under this criterion. (i) Tunnel Safety Expert: The key personnel have provided an undertaking clarifying the issue of mismatch of name in his documents and INFRACON Profile. Hence, on the basis of that undertaking, CV of the key personnel is being considered and 79.5 marks have been allotted accordingly. (ii) E & M Expert:- According to the RFP criterion, we need graduation in | |



| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|--------|---|---|---|
| | | is not considered. Zero mark is allotted to key personnel as it does not fulfil minimum eligibility criteria in qualification. E & M Expert as completed Bachelor of Engineering in Automobile Engineering from Kharkov state of Automobile — Highway technical university in 1996 and master of engineering in 1997 from same university. Automobile Engineering is an important branch of Mechanical Engineering. We strongly feel that Automobile Engineering should be considered as equivalent to Mechanical Engineering. He has more than 20 years of experience in similar projects. He has very good experience of tunnel ventilation system and Electro-Mechanical works from concept to commissioning, verification of design and specifications, equipment selection. Estimating plant room sizes for TVS/ ECS equipment, shaft sizes. Keeping in view the experience of the | Electrical/Mechanical Engineering and the qualification of the key personnel is graduation in Automobile Engineering which is not as per the requirement of the RFP criterion. Therefore, no marks are being awarded and as per clause 3.4(iv)(f) of Technical proposal of Section-2 of RFP zero marks are being awarded. | |
| | | Keeping in view the experience of the key personnel and equivalent qualification, we request to re-evaluate the marking of E&M Expert. (iii) Sr. Geotechnical Expert:- Marks for Employment with the Firm have not | (iii) Sr. Geotechnical Expert:- Mr. Rocky Tay is currently employed with M/s Meinhardt Infrastructure & Envirovement Ltd. and no proofs were provided that M/s Meinhardt Singapore PTE. Ltd. has any relation with M/s Meinhardt Infrastructure & Envirovement Ltd. and therefore no marks were awarded. Now, the bidder has provided clarification stating that Meinhardt Group International Limited is the parent company of M/s Meinhardt Singapore PTE. | |

and a for larly.



| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|--------|--|---|---|
| | | | Ltd. and; M/s Meinhardt Infrastructure & Envirovement Ltd. is their fully owned subsidiary. As per the clarification provided by the bidder regarding the employment of the key personnel with the firm it can be concluded that the key personnel is currently employed in the sister company of the bidder and the experience of the sister company cannot be considered for evaluation. | |
| | | | Therefore, the marks for employment are not given. | |
| | | (a) Post Graduate in Rock Mechanics /Foundation Engineering/ Tunnel Engineering. Post graduation in civil and structure engineering is equivalent degree i.e. foundation and tunnel. So we request you to please consider the same and allot the marks. | (a) According to the Clause no. 2.2.5 (I) of the Detailed Evaluation Criteria (Appendix O) of RFP document, we need post graduation in Rock Mechanics /Foundation Engineering/ Tunnel | |
| | | | Engineering and the qualification of the key personnel is post graduation in civil and structure engineering which is not as per the requirement in the RFP criterion. | |
| | | | Therefore, no marks have been awarded. | |
| | | (b) Experience in Construction/ Construction Supervision of major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) in developed and Middle East countries. We request you to please consider the pr. No. 7, 10 and 25 for design and supervision in reference to the duties description and title of the project. For project 25 tunnel to be included – tunnel length of 1.53 Km. | (b) In project no. 7 and 10 nature of assignment has been given as DPR and according to criterion we need construction supervision projects therefore these projects were not considered. Now, the bidder has claimed that the key personnel has experience of both DPR and Construction Supervision in these projects as mentioned | |

As Byond on lathe.

-edh

| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|--------|---|--|---|
| | | | in the section "description of duties" and now these projects are being considered based on submitted clarification. If the bidder is awarded the project, these project may be verified as per circular NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/0 9/1606 dated 02.08.2018. | |
| | | | Project no. 25 is now being considered as it involves tunnel of length 9000 m. | |
| | | | *Project no. 26 was considered in our earlier report but while re-examining the evaluation we found that length of the tunnel in project no. 26 has been given as 785 m whereas according to the criterion we need major tunnel (of length more 1500 metres) therefore not considered. | |
| | | (c) Experience as Geotechnical engineer or similar capacity in construction/ construction supervision of at least 4 tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of length equal to or more than 1.94 km. We request you to please consider the project no. 7, 10, 12, 32 or design and supervision in reference the duties description and title of project. The nature of assignment limited to one due to software limitation. | Therefore marks are being changed accordingly. (c) In project no. 7, 10, 12 and 32 nature of assignment has been given as DPR and according to criterion we need construction supervision projects therefore these projects were not considered. Now, the bidder has claimed that the key personnel has experience of both DPR and Construction Supervision in these projects as mentioned in the section "description of duties" and after discussion with the authority project no. 7, 10, 12 are being considered now. Therefore marks are being changed | |

Am Byland on latt.

| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|--------|---|--|---|
| | | | awarded the project, these project may be verified as per circular NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/0 9/1606 dated 02.08.2018. | |
| | | (iv) Senior Geologist:- The bidder has | Project no. 32 is not being considered as the length o tunnel is 1500 m but according to criterion we need tunnel of length more than 1.94 Km. | |
| | | provided clarification mentioning that the key personnel has worked with M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited from Sept. 2006 to Nov 2012 and continue from August 2017 to till date. | (iv) Senior Geologist:- We will like to bring to knowledge of the bidder that only experience of present employment with the firm has been considered under this criterion. Hence, the claim is rejected and no change in awarded marks is being done | |
| | | (v) Team Leader Cum Senior Tunnel Expert:- | (v) Team Leader Cum Senior Tunnel Expert:- | |
| | | (a) Candidate has done post graduation in Environmental Geology. The degree is relevant and brings added advantage as the Team leader cum Sr. Tunnel expert should be versed with tunnelling works. Request for marking allocation basis the post graduation qualification. | (a) Marks for Post Graduation were not allotted because the specialization of post graduation is different from those mentioned in RFP criterion i.e. Environmental Geology and as per RFP we need Post-Graduate or chartered engineer in civil/Mining Engineering or equivalent. Hence, the claim is rejected and no change in awarded marks is being done. | |
| | | (b) The key personnel has claimed project no. 1, 5, 7,8,10 and 12 under the criterion "Experience in major tunnel construction/construction supervision projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) in | (b) Out of the claimed projects, project no. 1, 5, 7 and 8 has already been considered. Project no 10 and 12 were not considered as the nature of assignment was given as DPR whereas we need construction | |

5

B good

en

lake.

-Oll

| Developed countries and Middle East Countries." Claiming that the project no. 10 and 12 covers DPR as well as construction supervision works. The key personnel has clarified that there is only one option to be chosen for nature of assignment and therefore was not able to submit both works i.e. DPR and construction supervision for same project. | Supervision work under this criterion. Now, the bidder has claimed that the key personnel has experience of both DPR and Construction Supervision in these projects as mentioned in the section "description of duties" and after discussion with the authority these projects are being considered now. Therefore marks are being changed accordingly. If the bidder is awarded the project, | |
|---|---|---|
| | these project may be verified as per circular NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/0 9/1606 dated 02.08.2018. | |
| (c) The key personnel have claimed project no. 1, 12 under the criterion "Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in construction supervision of tunnels (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of | considered as the key personnel have not worked under similar capacity and Project 12 was not | |
| length 1.94 km or more", claiming that in project no. 1 the key personnel was discharging duties at a level one below the project manager in Greece. The same may be considered equivalent in capacity to RE in the Indian Project Scenario. | assignment was given as DPR whereas we need construction Supervision work under this criterion. Now, the bidder has claimed that the key personnel has experience of both DPR and | |
| | project no. 1, 12 under the criterion "Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in construction supervision of tunnels (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of length 1.94 km or more", claiming that in project no. 1 the key personnel was discharging duties at a level one below the project manager in Greece. The same may be considered equivalent in capacity to RE in the Indian Project Scenario. And for project no. 12- The project covers DPR as well as construction supervision works. The same may be verified from the project title as well as the description of duties. Under the nature of assignment section both could not be captures due to limitations of software, allowing for only one | project no. 1, 12 under the criterion "Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in construction supervision of tunnels (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of length 1.94 km or more", claiming that in project no. 1 the key personnel was discharging duties at a level one below the project manager in Greece. The same may be considered equivalent in capacity to RE in the Indian Project Scenario. And for project no. 12- The project covers DPR as well as construction supervision works. The same may be verified from the project title as well as the description of duties. Under the nature of assignment was given as DPR whereas we need construction Supervision work under this criterion. Now, the bidder has claimed that the key personnel have experience of both DPR and Construction Supervision in this project as mentioned in the section "description of duties" and after discussion with the authority, project no. 12 is being considered now. Therefore marks are being changed accordingly. If the bidder is awarded the project, these project may be verified as per circular NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/0 9/1606 dated 02.08.2018. |

3 for en

| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|--------|---|--|---|
| | | Excavation Specialist:- (a) Total Experience in supervision of major Tunnel Projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel)- 18.1 years. | Excavation Specialist:- (a) The bidder has claimed 15 marks on the basis of 18.1 years of experience for project no. 2, 4, 6,7,10 and 11. These projects have already been considered but inadvertently marks were not allotted accordingly. Hence, the claim of the bidder is being accepted and marks are being revised accordingly. | |
| | | (b) Experience as Tunnel Excavation Expert or similar capacity in tunnel design/ DPR/ preparation / feasibility study/design review involving major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum 1 year experience in a project) We consider you to please consider project no. 6 for design and design review works. And project no. 10 to be considered for DPR and construction | 0, | |
| | | Supervision project in reference to the title and description of duties. | DPR/ preparation / feasibility study/design review is not mentioned in 'Description of Duties' therefore it is not being considered. Hence, the marks are being revised accordingly and the same has been discussed with the authority. If the bidder is awarded the project, these project may be verified as per circular NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/0 9/1606 dated 02.08.2018. | |
| | | (vii) Tunnel Design Engineer:- (a) Experience in similar capacity in Project Preparation/DPR involving design of tunnels (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of more than 1.94 km length (Min. 1 year experience in a project) | (vii) Tunnel Design Engineer:- (a) In project no. 1 designation of Project engineer has not been considered in similar capacity. | |

G for large

Ch

| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|--------|--|--|---|
| | | Kindly consider project no. 1, 3, 13, 15 including 4, 6,8,11. The project covers DPR as well as construction Supervision works. The same may be verified from the project title as well as the description of duties. Under the nature of assignment section both could not be captured due to limitations of software, allowing for only one choice selection. | In project no. 3 the designation of the key personnel has not been reflected in the project sheet. Therefore in project no. 1 and 3 the key personnel did not work under similar capacity hence not considered. Project no. 13 and 15 were not considered as nature of assignment for these projects is mentioned as 'Supervision (EPC) whereas we need DPR project under this criterion. Now, the bidder has claimed that the key personnel has experience of both DPR and Construction Supervision in these projects as mentioned in the section "description of duties" and after discussion with the authority, these projects are being considered now. Therefore marks are being changed accordingly. If | |
| | | (b) Experience in innovation tunnel design (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) like immersed tunnel and sub-sea bored tunnel Consider project no. 9- the project is development on 7 artificial islands having bridges, tunnels and transportation links and building interfaces. Consider project no. 15- design and supervision of innovative dual track high speed railway tunnel connecting the cities. | the bidder is awarded the project, these project may be verified as per circular NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/0 9/1606 dated 02.08.2018. (b) Project no. 9 and 15 were not considered as experience in innovation tunnel design (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) like immersed tunnel and sub-sea bored tunnel is not shown in the INFRACON sheets of these projects, however the bidder has submitted clarification for the same and on the basis of the submitted clarification the claim is accepted and change in marks is being done It is also being suggested that if the bidder is awarded the | |

In Bylin on latter

| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|--------|---|--|---|
| | | | project, these project may be verified as per circular NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/0 9/1606 dated 02.08.2018. | |
| | | (viii) Tunnel Safety Expert: (a) International exposure in tunnel | (viii) Tunnel Safety Expert:- | |
| | | safety works in developed countries and Middle East Countries Kindly consider project no. 2 including pr. No. 3, 4, and 5. Total no. of years is 11 years. As the project Heritage View condominium at Dower road and the project central grove condominium project at Sim ave includes work related to safety of tunnel. | (a) The claim is rejected as no such experience has been shown in project no. 2 which can clarify experience in tunnel safety work and this project does not involve any tunnel work Hence, no change in marks. | |
| | | (b) Experience in similar capacity of Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of minimum length of 1.94 Km. Pr. No. 11, 14, 15 .We request you to please consider the above with reference to the description of duties which itself describe the responsibility tunnel safety | (b) The claimed projects i.e. project no. 11, 14 and 15; have already been considered. Hence, no change in marks. | |
| | | auditing work. (c) Experience in similar capacity of Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel). Project no. 8, refer description of duties - it is stated 'reviewed design and drawings of safety layouts and hazardous classification of projects. | (c) In claimed project no. 8, the designation of the key personnel is General Manager which was not considered as similar capacity. However, as the bidder has reclaimed the project and it was discussed with the authority. Therefore, this project is being considered and the marks are being revised accordingly. | |
| | | (ix) Contract Specialist:- (a) Experience as Contract Expert or similar capacity in major roads/ highway projects. Project no. 2, 4 and 8 also to be considered. The revised total no. of years would be 17 years. | (ix) Contract Specialist:- (a) In the claimed project no. 2, 4 and 8 the key personnel has not worked in similar capacity therefore these projects were not considered. Hence, no change. | |





Jake.



| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|--------|---|--|---|
| | | (b) Experience of contract management of major roads/ Highway projects over Rs 500 crore including experience of handling variation orders, claims of the contractor. Kindly consider the project no. 3, 14, 15,20,23,24 as all the road projects includes contract management having project value exceeds 500 Cr. involving variation orders and claims. | (b) In project no. 3, 20 and 24 experience of handling variation orders, claims of the contractor, have not shown, therefore not considered. In Project no. 14, 15:- i). Name of the project is 'Independent consultancy'. ii). Nature of assignment has been defined as 'DPR'. iii). Project cost has been mentioned as 884.00 and 1015.00 respectively and iv). Experience of handling variation orders, claims of the contractor, have been shown. Due to the discrepancy in the name of project, nature of assignment and project cost these projects were not considered and for the same clarification was also sought but the bidder did not provided any clarification for the same. Hence, the claim is | |
| | | (x) Instrumentation & Control (SCADA) Expert:- (a) Post graduate Engineering. Eligibility required by the client is Bachelors in IT/Computer Science and post graduate engineering. The candidate has pursued masters in science with information trade which is equivalent to master's post bachelor of IT. (b) Experience in at least 7 years experience in hardware configuration, system tuning, application development, documentation including operation and maintenance. | rejected. In project no. 23 project cost is less than 500 Cr. Therefore, the claim is rejected. (x) Instrumentation & Control (SCADA) Expert:- (a) The required qualification is post graduate in engineering while the key personnel has pursued M. Sc in IT trade which cannot be considered equivalent to engineering. Hence, the claim is rejected and no marks are being changed. (b) Marks under this criterion were given as 0 because experience in hardware configuration, system tuning, | |







| Sr. No. | Quarry | Reply by Bidder | Remarks of the Financial Consultant | Recommenda tion by ETEC Committee |
|------------|--------|--|--|---|
| | | Pr. No. 1, 3, 5,6,7,8,11,13,14 and 15 to be considered and revised count of years of experience would be 16 years. It is imperative to note that SCADA system includes Hardware configuration, system requirements, tuning, and application development. | application development, documentation including operation and maintenance was not separately mentioned but as the bidder has clarified that the SCADA system includes Hardware configuration, system requirements, tuning, and application development. Therefore, the claim is accepted and marks are being revised accordingly. | |

- 4. In the meanwhile same complaint were received against followings firms.
 - (i) M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
 - (ii) M/s Energy Infratech Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd.
 - (iii) M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
 - (iv) M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
- 5. The complaints were examined by the CVO, NHIDCL and decision was taken to proceed as per the NHIDCL circular No.: NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/09/1606 dated 02.08.2018, i.e. post award verification of the qualified projects, of most preferred consultancy firms and their key personnel to ascertain the correctness of the claims. Therefore, if any firms will be qualified as H-1 bidder the post award verification of the CVs will be carried out. Further CVO, cleared the evaluation process for finalisation of bidding process. The same complaint were also examined by Financial consultant and its report is placed at **Enclosure-I,II,III & IV.**

B Byll on lake

6. Considering representations of the bidders, their revised Technical Scores and Status are tabulated below:

| Sr. No. | Name of Bidder | Technical Score uploaded vide Letter No. NHIDCL/Silkyara Tunnel/AE/2018 dated 08.03.2019. | Final Technical Score (After Representation) | Status |
|------------|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | 93.43 | 93.43 | Responsive |
| 2 | M/s Energy Infratech Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. | 77.88 | 83.66 | Responsive |
| | | | | (i) The bidder has not qualified the minimum experience in Project supervision/independent |
| 3 | M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | | | Engineer/Authority's Engineer of Tunnel Project of aggregate length equal to 3 times of length (i.e. 14.577 km) as per Clause 11 (A), Sr.No. 1(a) of Section 1 of RFP. (ii) The bidder has not qualified the prepared DPR/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel** work of 40% (i.e. 1.94 Km) of project length as per Clause 11 (A), Sr.No. 1(b) of Section 1 of RFP. (ii) Therefore, Non-responsive. |
| | Consultants Pvt. | | | Preliminary Design Repoleast one project of simil of Tunnel** work of 40' Km) of project length as 11 (A), Sr.No. 1(b) of SRFP. |

My B full

an

Jalle

Of the

7. The original bid validity date was 30.05.2019 and the bidders were requested to extend their respective bids vide Technical Division's letter dated 14.05.2019. Two bidders extended their bid validity viz. M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd and M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) in association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. vide their letter dated 14.05.2019, 16.05.2019 respectively. Further, reminder was sent to the remaining two bidders viz. M/s Energy Infratech Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. and M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd vide Technical division letter dated 30.05.2019 in reply to this M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. has denied to extend the bid validity vide its letter dated 29.05.2019 and M/s Energy Infratech Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. has extended the bid validity vide its letter dated 13.06.2019. The status of revised bid validity of all firms is tabulated below:

| Sr. No. | Firm Name | Revised bid Validity dated | Reference |
|------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| 1 | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | 30.07.2019 | Extended Vide Letter dated 16.05.2019 |
| 2 | M/s Energy Infratech Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. | 30.07.2019 | Extended vide letter dated 13.06.2019 |
| 3 | M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | 30.07.2019 | Extended Vide Letter dated 16.05.2019 |
| 4 | M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | Expired | Denied extending bid validity Vide Letter dated 29.05.2019 |

8. The final status with respective scores of bidders are tabulated below:

| Sr. No | Firm Name | Technical Score | Status |
|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
| 1 | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | 93.43 | Technically Responsive Eligible for opening of Financial Bid |
| 2 | M/s Energy Infratech Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. | 83.66 | Technically Responsive Eligible for opening of Financial Bid |
| 3 | M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | - , | Technically Non- Responsive |

an 3 pa

Br

Calles.

-Old

| 4 | M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | 70.49 | Technically Responsive as score is less that In addition consultant has extended the validity. | Non- the n 75. the not bid |
|---|--|-------|--|---|
| 5 | M/s Beaver Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | - | Technically Responsive | Non- |

9. In view of the above, the committee recommended for opening of financial bids of technically responsive bidder with the their revised Technical Score to be uploaded on eprocure.gov.in and nhidcl.com as below giving 7 days of time after taking approval of Competent Authority.

| Sr.No. | Firm Name | Technical Score |
|--------|---|-----------------|
| 1 | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | 93.43 |
| 2 | M/s Energy Infratech Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. | 83.66 |

V.K.Singh ED-IV, Chairman Y.C.Srivastava , GM (T), Member, Secretary Ashok Kumar Singh GM (T), Member

Kavita Vivek Manager(Tech), Member

Uttam Chatterjee (DGM-Fin.), Member

Delli



RAJ HAR GOPAL & Co

CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS

07.06.2019

To,
Mr. Y.C. Srivastava,
General Manager (Tech),
National Highway & Infrastructure
Development Corporation Ltd.
PTI Building, 3rd Floor, 4, Parliament Street
New Delhi- 110001

Sub: "Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand"

Dear Sir,

In continuation to our earlier report dated 26.02.2019, kindly find herewith the report regarding replies of clarifications made by bidders i.e. M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC), M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited in association with Meinhardt Singapore PTE. Ltd., M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

We have reviewed all the objections made by the bidders and marks have been revised, if the objections are found genuine. Reply of objections is enclosed as per following annexure:-

| S. No. | Name of Bidder | Reply to the clarification made by the Bidder |
|-----------|--|--|
| 1. | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | Annexure- "A" |
| 2. | M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited in association with Meinhardt Singapore PTE. Ltd. | Annexure- "B" |
| 3. | M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | Annexure- "C" |



RAJ HAR GOPAL & Co

CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS

Accordingly based on the objections of the bidders, Recommendation / final summary of technical evaluation/ Scores are as below.

| S. No. | Name of Bidder | Technical score | | Rank of the Firm | Whether the applicant is Responsive or |
|-----------|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| | | Earlier Score | Revised Score | | Non- Responsive |
| 1. | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | 93.43 | 93.43 | 1 | RESPONSIVE |
| 2. | M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited in association with Meinhardt Singapore PTE. Ltd. | 77.82 | 83.66 | 2 | RESPONSIVE |
| 3. | M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | | NON-RES | PONSIVE* | |
| 4. | M/s Systra S.A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | 70.49 | 70.49 | NON-R | ESPONSIVE** |

Note:- *The firm is not fulfilling minimum eligibility criteria as per Clause 11(A) of Section-1 of RFP i.e. (i) The firm should have minimum experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/ Authority's Engineer of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 3 times (14.577 Km) or more of similar category** for which RFP is invited and (ii) Firm should also have prepared DPR/Design/Feasibility Study/ for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel work of 40% (i.e. 1.94 km) of project length.

**(More than 3 CV i.e. (Resident cum Tunnel Excavation Expert, Tunnel Design Engineer, Tunnel Safety Expert, Sr. Geotechnical Expert, Sr. Geologist, Tunnel ventilation Cum E&M expert, Instrumentation & Control (SCADA) Expert) score less than 75% marks, therefore the proposal shall be considered Non – Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (iv) (f) of RFP. Hence bidder is considered non-responsive.)

In view of above it is recommended that the financial bids of the responsive applicants may be opened. Please feel free to contact us in case you require any clarification.

We always look forward to work with NHIDCL and also assure you of our best services at all times.

Thanking You,
Yours Sincerely,
For Raj Har Gopal & Co
(Chartered Accountants)

FRN No.
002074N

Authorized signatory

"Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend -Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand"

| E 9 |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| a b |
| u |
| |
| |
| 10 |
| |
| - |
| 70 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Ü |
| Ü |
| li C |
| odic C |
| todic C |
| Rodic C |
| s Rodic C |
| /s Rodic C |
| 1/s Rodic C |
| M/s Rodic C |
| 1 M/s Rodic C |
| th M/s Rodic C |
| ith M/s Rodic C |
| Nith M/s Rodic C |
| With M/s Rodic C |
| n With M/s Rodic C |
| on With M/s Rodic C |
| tion With M/s Rodic C |
| ation With M/s Rodic C |
| iation With M/s Rodic C |
| ciation With M/s Rodic C |
| ociation With M/s Rodic C |
| sociation With M/s Rodic C |
| association With M/s Rodic C |
| association With M/s Rodic C |
| n association With M/s Rodic C |
| in association With M/s Rodic C |
| L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| L. in association With M/s Rodic C. |
| S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Co |
| s S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| ps S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| ios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| dios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| udios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| studios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| estudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| pestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| roestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| rroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C. |
| a Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| isa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| insa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) |
| tinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| etinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| Setinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C. |
| F Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| PF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| /s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| //s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C |
| M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic C. |

| Remarks | | The issue of mismatch of name of Mr. Shamshe Ali has been resolved as the key personnel did send a mail to the INRACON team to correct his name from 'Shamsha Ali' to 'Shamshe Ali'. The same was confirmed on INFRACON that the name has been changed to Shamshe Ali . Hence, as the issue of mismatch of names has been resolved, the CV is bring considered and 91 marks are being awarded to this CV. |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Final | | 91 |
| Previous Marks | | 91 |
| As per applicant Claimed | | 1 |
| Max Marks as per the Clause | | 100 |
| Name of Key Personnel | ey personnel | Mr. Shamshe Ali |
| Objection/Reply | Reply regarding the clarifications of mismatch of names of the key personnel | The key personnel has provided undertaking confirming that the name of the key personnel as mentioned in documents is correct i.e. Shamshe Ali and the name which has been shown on infracon profile i.e. Shamsha Ali , is a result of typographical error while creating his profile. For the same the key personnel has also requested to Infracon to change his name as written in his documents. |
| Key Position | rding the clarifications | Tunnel Safety Expert |
| Si. No | Reply rega | гі |



| The key personnel was asked to resolve the issue of mismatch of name in his CV and in qualification degree. In response on which the key personnel has provided an undertaking stating that name on his qualification degree is correct and for the same he has requested INFRACON Team to change his name on CV as Don Jaime Otazua Font. On the basis of that undertaking the CV of this key personnel is being considered and accordingly 94 marks are being awarded. | The key personnel was asked to resolve the issue of mismatch of name in his CV and in qualification degree. In response on which the key personnel has provided an undertaking stating that name on his qualification degree is correct and for the same he has requested INFRACON Team to change his name on CV as Constantinos Stergiopoulos. Further, it was also found that the name on ID proof, i.e. Passport, of this key personnel is mentioned as Konstantinos Stergiopoulos which is also an contradiction to the undertaking provided by him for changing his name. When clarification was take from the bidder the key personnel said that due to different English Codes his name was printed as Konstantinos Stergiopoulos. The authority may consider this CV on the basis of the undertaking and required verification may be done as per NHIDCL NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/09/1606 Aated O2.08.2018 for H1 bidder. However it is suggested that if this firm stands H1 necessary correction in passport as submitted by Mr. Konstantinos | |
|---|--|---|
| 94 | 06 | |
| 96 | 06 | |
| | /8 | CO.* Sluging |
| 100 | 100 CAN | OGZOZAN OGZOZA |
| Mr. Jaime Otazua | Konstantinos Stergiopoulos | LAR * CLS |
| key ided key key kioned ect i.e con proon | The key personnel has provided undertaking confirming that the name of the key personnel as mentioned in documents is correct i.e. Constantinos Stergiopoulos and the name which has been shown on infracon profile i.e. Konstantinos Stergiopoulos, is a result of typographical error while creating his profile. For the same the key personnel has also requested to Infracon to change his name as written in his documents. | |
| Funnel Design | Engineer Engineer | |
| ν ν | ni | |

"Construction. Operation and Maint

| M/s Energy | Infratech Private | M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited in association with Meinhardt Singapore PT | dt Singapore PTE. I | E. Ltd. | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------|---|
| SI. No | Key Position | Objection | Name of Key Personnel | Max Marks as per the Clause | As per applicant | Marks assigned | Final | Remarks |
| Query on Ev. | aluation Criteria for | Query on Evaluation Criteria for Firm's Relevant Experience for the assignment | signment | | | | | |
| T-1 | ' | We hereby confirm that EIPL has an Experience in Construction supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority Engineer of a tunnel project during the last 7 years of minimum length equal to or more than 8.6 Km twin tunnel i.e. 8.6+8.6 =17.2 Km. | T | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | As per RFP clause 2.1 of Detailed evaluation criteria of RFP i.e. "Experience in construction supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority's Engineer of a tunnel project during the last 7 years of minimum length equal to or more than 1.94 km in a single stretch ", it can be easily concluded that we need single tunnel of length more than 1.94 km and as per the experience certificate claimed it is clearly mentioned that work of two tunnels each of 8.6 km single stretch has been done. Therefore, as per RFP criterion single tunnel of length 8.6 km has been considered for evaluation. Hence, the claim is rejected and marks are not being revised under this criterion. |
| Reply regard | ing the clarifications | Reply regarding the clarifications of mismatch of names of the key personnel | sonnel | | | | | |
| н | Tunnel Safety Expert | As per technical result name of the tunnel safety Expert is mentioned is mentioned in Infracon ID as Janardhanam Varatharajan while in relevant document i.e. Pan Card, B. tech is Janardhanam V. We hereby confirm that full name of key professional is | Mr. Janardhanam Varatharajan | 100 100 NATARA NO. OOCONTAN | CO.*SI | | 1 | The key personnel have provided an undertaking clarifying the issue of mismatch of name in his documents and INFRACON Profile. Hence, on the basis of that undertaking, CV of the key personnel is being considered and 79.5 marks have been allotted accordingly. |
| | | | | | 100/ | | | |

| | According to the RFP criterion, we need graduation in Electrical/Mechanical Engineering and the qualification of the key personnel is graduation in Automobile Engineering which is not as per the requirement of the RFP criterion. Therefore, no marks are being awarded and as per clause 3.4(iv)(f) of Technical proposal of Section-2 of RFP zero marks are being awarded. | |
|---------------------------|--|--------|
| | 0 | |
| | 0 | |
| | 20 | |
| | Signment 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | ed Ace |
| demiser of the Accim | Mr. Neeraj Sahu | |
| Janardhanam Varatharajan. | E& M Expert As per marks evaluation sheet, Mr Neeraj Sahu 2 certificate of automobile engineering of & M expert is not considered. Zero mark is allotted to key personnel as it does not fulfil minimum eligibility criteria in qualification. E & M Expert as completed Bachelor of Engineering in Automobile Engineering in Automobile Engineering in 1996 and master of engineering in 1995 and master of engineering in 1995 and master of mechanical Engineering in 1996 and master of mechanical Engineering. We strongly feel that Automobile Engineering is an important branch of Mechanical Engineering. He has more than 20 years of experience of tunnel ventilation system and Electro- Mechanical nowaks from concept to commissioning, verification of design and specifications, equipment selection. Estimating plant room sizes for TVS/ ECS equipment, shaft sizes. Keeping in view the experience of the key personnel and equivalent qualification, we request to re-evaluate the marking of E&M Expert. | |
| uation Criteria for as | E & M Expert | |
| Query on Eva | 1 | |

| Mr. Rocky Tay is currently employed with M/s Meinhardt Infrastructure & Envirovement Ltd. and no proofs were provided that M/s Meinhardt Singapore PTE. Ltd. has any relation with M/s Meinhardt Infrastructure & Envirovement Ltd. and therefore no marks were awarded. Now, the bidder has provided clarification stating that Meinhardt Group International Limited is the parent company of M/s Meinhardt Infrastructure & Envirovement Ltd. is their fully owned subsidiary. As per the clarification provided by the bidder regarding the employment of the key personnel with the firm it can be concluded that the key personnel is currently employed in the sister company of the bidder and the experience of the sister company cannot be considered for evaluation. Therefore, the marks for employment are not given. | According to the Clause no. 2.2.5 (I) of the Detailed Evaluation Criteria (Appendix O) of RFP document, we need post graduation in Rock Mechanics /Foundation Engineering/ Tunnel Engineering and the qualification of the key personnel is post graduation in civil and structure engineering which is not as per the requirement in the RFP criterion. Therefore, no marks have been awarded. | In project no. 7 and 10 nature of assignment has been given as DPR and according to criterion we need construction supervision projects therefore these projects were not considered. Now, the bidder has claimed that the key personnel has experience of both DPR and Construction Supervision in these projects as mentioned in the section "description of duties" and now these projects are being considered based on submitted clarification. It is also being suggested that if the bidder is |
|--|--|---|
| 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N | N | 12 |
| N | S | TERN NO. FRAN NO. S. |
| Mr. Rocky Tay | | |
| | 1.11) Post Graduate in Rock Mechanics / Foundation Engineering/ Tunnel Engineering. Post graduation in civil and structure engineering is equivalent degree i.e. foundation and tunnel. So we request you to please consider the same and allot the marks. | a (ii) Experience in Construction/ Construction Supervision of major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) in developed and Middle East countries. We request you to please consider the pr. No. 7, 10 and 25 for design and supervision in reference to the duties description and title of the |
| Sr. Geotechnical Expert | | |
| .5 | | |

| awarded the project, these project may be verified as per circular NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/09/1606 dated 02.08.2018. Project no. 25 is now being considered as it involves tunnel of length 9000 m. *Project no. 26 was considered in our earlier report but while re-examining the evaluation we found that length of the tunnel in project no. 26 has been given as 785 m whereas according to the criterion we need major tunnel (of length more 1500 metres) therefore not considered. Therefore marks are being changed accordingly. | 19 1b project no. 7, 10, 12 and 32 nature of assignment has been given as DPR and according to criterion we need construction supervision projects therefore these projects were not considered. Now, the bidder has claimed that the key personnel has experience of both DPR and Construction Supervision in these projects as mentioned in the section "description of duties" and now project no. 7, 10, 12 are being considered now based on submitted clarifications. Therefore marks are being changed accordingly. It is also being suggested that if the bidder is awarded the project, these project may be verified as per circular NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/09/1606 dated 02.08.2018. Project no. 32 is not being considered as the length o tunnel is 1500 m but according to criterion we need tunnel of length more than 1.94 Km. |
|--|--|
| | 25 25 |
| | - |
| | |
| $I \cup I = I$ | b(i) Experience as Geotechnical engineer or similar capacity in construction/ construction supervision of at least 4 tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of length equal to or more than 1.94 km. We request you to please consider the project no. 7, 10, 12, 32 or design and supervision in reference the duties description and title of project. The nature of assignment limited to one due to software limitation. |
| | |
| | |



| (| | | | | | | | |
|------|--|---|------------------------------|----|----|---|----|---|
| ni - | Senior Geologist | The bidder has provided clarification mentioning that the key personnel has worked with M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited from Sept. 2006 to Nov 2012 and continue from August 2017 to till date. | Mr. Pankaj Punetha | ഗ | ľ | 7 | 7 | We will like to bring to knowledge of the bidder that only experience of present employment with the firm has been considered under this criterion. Hence, the claim is rejected and no change in awarded marks is being done. |
| 4. | Team Leader Cum Senior Tunnel Expert | 1. Candidate has done post graduation in Environmental Geology. The degree is relevant and brings added advantage as the Team leader cum Sr. Tunnel expert should be versed with tunnelling works. Request for marking allocation basis the post graduation qualification. | Mr. Nikolaos Kostopoulous | N | N | 0 | 0 | Marks for Post Graduation were not allotted because the specialization of post graduation is different from those mentioned in RFP criterion i.e. Environmental Geology and as per RFP we need Post-Graduate or chartered engineer in civil/Mining Engineering or equivalent. Hence, the claim is rejected and no change in awarded marks is being done. |
| | | 2. The key personnel has claimed project no. 1, 5, 7,8,10 and 12 under the criterion "Experience in major tunnel construction/construction projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) in Developed countries and Middle East Countries." Claiming that the project no. 10 and 12 covers DPR as well as construction supervision works. The key personnel has clarified that there is only one option to be chosen for nature of assignment and therefore was not able to submit both works i.e. DPR and construction supervision for same project. | | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | Out of the claimed projects, project no. 1, 5, 7 and 8 has already been considered. Project no 10 and 12 were not considered as the nature of assignment was given as DPR whereas we need construction. Supervision work under this criterion. Now, the bidder has claimed that the key personnel has experience of both DPR and Construction Supervision in these projects as mentioned in the section "description of duties" and now these projects are being considered based on submitted clarification Therefore marks are being changed accordingly. It is also being suggested that if the bidder is awarded the project, these project may be verified as per circular NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/09/1606 dated 02.08.2018. |
| | | | 100 | | | | | |

A OT AN OUNTENTS * OT A

| Project no. 1 was not considered as the key personnel have not worked under similar capacity and Project 12 was not considered as the nature of assignment was given as DPR whereas we need construction Supervision work under this criterion. Now, the bidder has claimed that the key personnel has experience of both DPR and Construction Supervision in this project as mentioned in the section "description of duties" and now project no. 12 is being considered based on submitted clarification. Therefore marks are being changed accordingly. It is also being suggested that if the bidder is awarded the project, these project may be verified as per circular NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/09/1606 dated 02.08.2018. | The bidder has claimed 15 marks on the basis of 18.1 years of experience for project no. 2, 4, 6,7,10 and 11. These projects have already been considered but inadvertently marks were not allotted accordingly. Hence, the claim of the bidder is being accepted and marks are being revised accordingly. The bidder has claimed project no. project no. 6 and 10 under this criterion these projects were not considered as nature of assignment was given as Supervision (PPP). However, 'design review' has been mentioned under the head 'Description of Duties' of project no. 6. In project no. 10, work of tunnel design/ DPR/ preparation / feasibility study/design review is |
|---|--|
| 18.75 | 9 |
| 50 | 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 |
| | 15 THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOT |
| | Mr. Rayees Ahmec |
| 3. The key personnel have claimed project no. 1, 12 under the criterion "Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in construction supervision of tunnels (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of length 1.94 km or more", claiming that in project no. 1 the key personnel was discharging duties at a level one below the project manager in Greece. The same may be considered equivalent in capacity to RE in the Indian Project Scenario. And for project no. 12- The project covers DPR as well as construction supervision works. The same may be verified from the project title as well as the description of duties. Under the nature of assignment section both could not be captures due to limitations of software, allowing for only one choice selection. | Total Experience in supervision of major Tunnel Projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel)-18.1 years Expert or similar capacity in tunnel design/ DPR/ preparation / feasibility study/design review involving major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum 1 year experience in a project) We consider you to please |
| | Resident Engineer cum Excavation Specialist |

| not mentioned in 'Description of Duties' therefore it is not being considered. Hence, the marks are being revised accordingly. It is also being suggested that if the bidder is awarded the project, these project may be verified as per Circular NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/09/1606 dated 02.08.2018. | In project no. 1 designation of Project engineer has not been considered in similar capacity. In project no. 3 the designation of the key personnel has not been reflected in the project sheet. Therefore in project no. 1 and 3 the key personnel did not work under similar capacity hence not considered. Project no. 13 and 15 were not considered as nature of assignment for these projects is mentioned as 'Supervision (EPC) whereas we need DPR project under this criterion. Now, the bidder has claimed that the key personnel has experience of both DPR and Construction Supervision in these projects as mentioned in the section "description of duties" and now these projects are being considered. Therefore marks are being changed accordingly. It is also being suggested that if the bidder is awarded the project, these project may be verified as per circular NHIDCL/Consultancy/2018/09/1606 dated 02.08.2018. | Project no. 9 and 15 were not considered as experience in innovation tunnel design (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) like immersed tunnel and sub-sea bored tunnel is not shown in the INFRACON sheets of these projects, however the bidder has submitted clarification for the same and on the basis of the submitted clarification the claim is accepted and change in marks is being done It is also being suggested |
|--|--|---|
| | 17 | N |
| | 12 | 0 |
| | 15 | rv. |
| | 15 | LO STUBILITO |
| | Mr. Hussein Ahmed Faizi | RAIHANS NO DO |
| consider project no. 6 for design and design review works. And project no. 10 to be considered for DPR and construction Supervision project in reference to the title and description of duties. | b(ii) Experience in similar capacity in Project Preparation/DPR involving design of tunnels (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of more than 1.94 km length (Min. 1 year experience in a project) Kindly consider project no. 1, 3, 13, 15 including 4, 6,8,11. The project covers DPR as well as construction Supervision works. The same may be verified from the project title as well as the description of duties. Under the nature of assignment section both could not be captured due to limitations of software, allowing for only one choice selection. | C (i) Experience in innovation tunnel design (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) like immersed tunnel and sub-sea bored tunnel Consider project no. 9- the project is development on 7 artificial islands having bridges, tunnels and transportation links and building interfaces. |
| | Tunnel Design Engineer | |
| 5 9 | ဖ် | |

| ∞ • | Contract Specialist | b(i) Experience as Contract Expert or similar capacity in major roads/ highway projects. | Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Agarwal | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | In the claimed project no. 2, 4 and 8 the key personnel has not worked in similar capacity therefore these projects were not considered. Hence, no change. |
|-----|--|--|------------------------------|------------|----|----|----|---|
| | | considered. The revised total no. of years would be 17 years. | | | | | | |
| | | c(i) Experience of contract management of major roads/ Highway projects over Rs 500 crore including experience of handling variation orders, claims of the contractor. Kindly consider the project no. 3, 14, 15,20,23,24 as all the road projects includes contract management having project value exceeds 500 Cr. involving variation orders and claims. | | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | In project no. 3, 20 and 24 experience of handling variation orders, claims of the contractor, have not shown, therefore not considered. In Project no. 14, 15:- i). Name of the project is 'Independent consultancy'. iii). Nature of assignment has been defined as 'DpR'. iii). Project cost has been mentioned as 884.00 and 1015.00 respectively and and 1015.00 respectively and iv). Experience of handling variation orders, claims of the contractor, have been shown. Due to the discrepancy in the name of project, nature of assignment and project cost these projects were not considered and for the same clarification was also sought but the bidder did not provided any clarification for the same. Hence, the claim is rejected. In project no. 23 project cost is less than 500 Cr. Therefore, the claim is rejected. |
| ത് | Instrumentation & Control (SCADA) Expert | 1. II) Post graduate Engineering. Eligibility required by the client is Bechlors in IT/Computer Science and post graduate engineering. The candidate has pursued masters in science with information trade which is equivalent to master's post bachelor of IT. | Mr. Karamvir Singh | FRW NO. FR | S. | 0 | 0 | The required qualification is post graduate in engineering while the key personnel has pursued M. Sc in IT trade which cannot be considered equivalent to engineering. Hence, the claim is rejected and no marks are being changed. |
| | | | 8 + 50 | 100 | | | | |

| a. (iii) Experience in at least 7 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 15 | Marks under this criterion were given as 0 |
|------------------------------------|--------|----|-----|----|--|
| years experience in hardware | | | | | because experience in hardware configuration. |
| configuration, system tuning, | | | | | system tuning, application development. |
| application development, | 22.72 | | | | documentation including operation and |
| documentation including | | | | | maintenance was not separately mentioned |
| operation and maintenance. | | | | | but as the bidder has clarified that the SCADA |
| Pr. No. 1, 3, 5,6,7,8,11,13,14 and | | | | | system includes Hardware configuration, |
| 15 to be considered and revised | | | | | system requirements, tuning, and application |
| count of years of experience | | | | | development. |
| would be 16 years. It is | | | | | Therefore, the claim is accepted and marks are |
| imperative to note that SCADA | | | -12 | | being revised accordingly. |
| system includes Hardware | | | | | |
| configuration, system | | | | | |
| requirements, tuning, and | 2 - 11 | | | | |
| application development. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |



| "Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Cor Procurement and Construction (EPC) Basis." | "Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of Stand-alone Ring Roa Procurement and Construction (EPC) Basis." | and-alone Ring Rc | oad / Bypass | s around Ja | mmu City in the s | tate of J&K | d / Bypass around Jammu City in the state of J&K (4 Lane, Length-58.2Km) on Engineering |
|--|---|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------|----------------|--|
| M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Aı | M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | n with SA Infrastruc | ture Consulta | ants Pvt. Ltd | | | |
| Sl. No Key Position | Objection | Name of Key Personnel | Max Marks as per the Clause | As per applicant | Marks assigned | Final Marks | Remarks |
| Query on Evaluation Criter | Query on Evaluation Criteria for Firm's Relevant Experience for the assignment | he assignment | | | | | |
| 1. | The firm should have minimum experience of Project Supervision/Independent | | 1 | | - | ı | The bidder has claimed the following project under this criterion:- |
| | Engineer/ Authority's Engineer of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 3 times (14.577 Km) or more of similar | | | | | | A. Project on page no. 252 of bid document- This project is not uploaded on Infracon and as per clause 7.1 of section 1 of RFP, the data uploaded on Infracon has only been evaluated. Hence, this |
| | category** for which RFP is invited. The bidder has claimed the following project under this criterion:- | | | | | | project is not considered for evaluation. B. Project on page no. 260 which is uploaded on Infracon as project no. 28 has already been considered under this criterion. |
| | A. Project Management for the extension of FGC to Terrassa, Infrastructure, Superstructure of track and catenory. Stretch Terrassa-Rambla Tunnel /Can | | | | | | C. As per the certificate uploaded on infracon portal for this project, the length of the tunnel work was not mentioned and therefore it was not considered for evaluation. Now, the bidder has claimed the same project on the basis of a |
| | Roca and transfer stations RENFE CAN ROCA. (Pg. No. 252) B. Project Management Consultancy and Technical Assistance in the Operation of | | | | | | supplementary certificate attached in bid document from page no. 277 to 286, which was not uploaded by the bidder on INFRACON at the time of bidding. In this supplementary certificate (translated), length of the tunnel work has been mentioned as 10.5 Km but it is also mentioned |
| | Metro Panama Line lâ€, Panama Metro, Contract No. SMP-31-2010 (Pg No. 260) C. Technical Assistance and | | RATHER COPAL | 2 0 4 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | that the tunnel work is under construction on the date of issuance of the certificate. As per the requirement of RFP the work has to be substantially completed within last seven years whereas the certificate does not reveal whether |
| | | | 100 | | | | |

| the work of construction supervision has been completed within 7 years. Secondly, as the document provided the construction work is still under progress and therefore this experience cannot be considered for DPR or Construction Supervision. | D. Tunnel work has been completed as mentioned in the certificate dated 30.09.2012 but the completion date of tunnel work is not mentioned in the certificate which may fall prior to last 7 years from the bid due date 31.01.2012. Hence, the claim cannot be considered for evaluation. | (A) In the Certificate dated 18.03.2013,uploaded on INFRACON have following deficiency: 1. In the certificate it is mentioned that the design work has been completed and construction work is under progress. From this information it cannot be concluded that the design phase was completed within last 7 years from bid due date i.e. 31.01.2012. 2. The bidder has also submitted a supplementary document i.e. certificate dated 28.08.2018 in the bid document i.e. certificate dated 28.08.2018 in the bid document for the same project. In this certificate also it is mentioned that design work has been completed and construction work is under process. This data does not provide any clear information for completion of design work after 31.01.2012. Therefore, rejected. (B) Project on page no. 322 of bid document. This project is not uploaded on Infracon and as per clause 7.1 of section 1 of RFP, the data uploaded on Infracon has only been evaluated. Hence, this project is not considered for evaluation. (C) Project on page no. 330 of bid document. This project is not uploaded on Infracon and as per clause 7.1 of section 1 of RFP, the data uploaded | |
|--|--|--|---------------|
| | | A PANTA CO PANTA CO CO TANTA CO | Treed Account |
| Project Management Contract for the Construction of the Detailed Design of Lines 1 & Line 2 of Malaga Metro, Spain (Pg No. 279). | D. Project Management Consultancy & Assistance for Coordination and Support of the specialized consultancy services of the platform works for the High Speed Line Bobadilla-Granada/Spain. (Pg No. 291-292). | The bidder has claimed the following projects under the criterion i.e. Firm should also have prepared DPR/Design/Feasibility Study/ for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel work of 40% (i.e. 1.94 km) of project length:- (A) Detailed Design and Supervision for Construction of Road Bed including two tunnels of 0.94km long (chainages 18.660-19.600 km) and 6.62km long (chainage 23.370-29.990 km) (A) Detailed Design of the Venkatachalam New Railway in Kadapaf Nellore district, in the state of Andhra Pradesh (INDIA) (Pg NO. 309)(Pr. No. 27). (B) Detailed Design of the Victoria-Bilbao-San Sebastian High-speed railway Platform, Stretch: Mondragon- Bergara (PO28/09) (Pg. No. 322) | |
| 2 | | | |

| | | on Infracon has only been evaluated. Hence, this |
|---|------------------------------------|--|
| | | project is not considered for evaluation. |
| _ | (C) Detail design of first line of | |
| | metro within the framework of | (D) In the certificate provided it can be observed |
| | the integrated public transport | that Batch 1, Batch 2 and Batch 3 are part of line 1 |
| | system SITP -for the city of | of underground urban transport and the total |
| | Bogota, D.C (Pg no. 330) | length of line 1 is 22 Km. However from the |
| | | information contained in the certificate total |
| | (D) Consultancy Services And | batches in 22 km length and length of Batch 1, 2 |
| | Technical Assistance of the | and 3 cannot be determined in absence of |
| | Detailed Design Of Line 1 of | completion details the project cannot be |
| | Underground Urban Transport | Considered for evaluation |
| | Of Quito (Ecuador), Batch 1†, | |
| | Ecuador (Pg. No. 346) | |



| "Constructi | "Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend –Bark Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along N | al Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand" | g approaches on akhand" |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| List of the Applicants:- | pplicants:- | | |
| Applicant No:- | Name of the Applicant | Api | Status of the Applicant Sole/JV/ Association |
| 1/4 | M/s Systra S.A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | | Association |
| 2/4 | M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | tructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | Association |
| 3/4 | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | t. Ltd. (RODIC) | Association |
| 4/4 | M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited in association with Meinhardt Singapore PTE. Ltd. | TE. Ltd. | Association |
| | 05% | | |



| | 4 | |
|--|--|--|
| | 9 | |
| | tat | |
| | e S | |
| | ŧ | |
| | - | |
| | 94 | |
| | Ŧ | |
| | P | |
| | 34 (old | |
| | 134 | |
| | Ŧ | |
| | 2 | |
| | ou | |
| | 10 | |
| | Ē | |
| | fa. | |
| | E | |
| | 0 | |
| | 51 | |
| | 5 | |
| | P | |
| | 8 | |
| | k | |
| | on between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along N | |
| | . 2 | |
| | 5 | |
| | en | |
| | We | |
| | bet | |
| | no | |
| | ti | |
| | se | |
| | otri | |
| | amunot | |
| | am | |
| | 7- | |
| | ast. | |
| | hai | |
| | 0 | |
| | 0 0 | |
| | | |
| | he | |
| | oache | |
| | proache | |
| | approache | |
| | ing approache | |
| | luding approache | |
| | including approache | |
| | ge including approache | |
| | ssage including approache | |
| | passage including approache | |
| | pe passage including approache | |
| | scape passage including approache | |
| | h escape passage including approache | |
| | with escape passage including approache | |
| | nel with escape passage including approache | |
| | unnel with escape passage including approache | |
| | unnel with escape passage includ | |
| | unnel with escape passage includ | |
| | arkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | arkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | unnel with escape passage includ | |
| | arkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | yara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | yara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | yara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | yara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | yara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | yara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| 100 10 | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |
| | irectional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage includ | |

MINIMUM ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA As per 11(A) of RFP

| | | Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent) | | Project Supervision/IC (NH/SH/Equivalent) | | Annual Turnover | |
|--------|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| S. No. | Name of the Applicants | The firm should have minimum experience of Project detailed Project Report/Design Supervision/Independer Feasibility Study/ of Tunnel project Authority's Engineer of of aggregate length equal to 2 times (9.718 km) or more (14.577 km) or more of similar category for which RFP is similar category** for winvited. | The firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/ Seasibility Study/ of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 2 times (9.718 km) or more (14.577 km) or more of of similar category for which RFP is invited. | Firm should also have prepared DPR/Design/Feasibility Study/for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel work of 40% (i.e. 1.94 km) of project length. | Firm should also have experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority/ Engineer of at least one project of similar category of Tunnel work of 40% (i.e. 1.94 km)of project length. | Annual turnover (updated average of last 3 years) of the firm from consultancy business should be equal to or more than 2% of Estimated Project Cost. | whether the Applicant is Technical "Responsive" or "Non-Responsive". |
| 1/4 | M/s Systra S.A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | Responsive |
| 2/4 | M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | YES | ON | ON | YES | YES | Non-Responsive |
| 3/4 | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | Responsive |
| 4/4 | M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited in association with Meinhardt Singapore PTE. Ltd. | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | Responsive |



"Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend -Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand"

Average annual turnover from consultancy services after following enhancement factor

| Year | Year of Completion of Services/turnover | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|---------|------------------|--------------------------|---|--|----------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Finan | Financial Year in which RFP invited (2017-18) | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| One Y | One Year Prior to RFP (2016-17) | 1.1 | | | | | | | | |
| Two Y | Two Year Prior to RFP (2015-16) | 1.21 | | | | | | | | |
| Three | Three Year Prior to RFP (2014-15) | 1.33 | | | | | | | | |
| | Name of Consultancy Firm/Entity (Sole Bidder/Bidder in | Averag | e annual foll | turnover f lowing enh | al turnover from consultancy following enhancement factor | Average annual turnover from consultancy services after following enhancement factor | es after | Annual Average | Eligibility | marks obtained out |
| S. No. | | 2017-18 | -18 | 201 | 2016-17 | 201 | 2015-16 | Turnover in Last 3 | (Crore) | or maximum marks 2 |
| | | 1.1 | - | Т | 1.21 | 1.33 | 33 | years 2015-10 to 2017-18 | | Assesed |
| 1/4 | M/s Systra S.A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | 3091.55 | 3400.71 | 3400.71 3247.25 | 3929.17 | 3160.78 | 4203.83 | 3844.57 | Yes | 2 |
| 2/4 | M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | 617.01 | 678.72 | 590.74 | 714.79 | 457.81 | 608.89 | 667.47 | Yes | 2 |
| 3/4 | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 270.29 | 327.05 | 298.26 | 396.69 | 241.24 | Yes | 2 |
| 4/4 | M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited in association with Meinhardt Singapore PTE. Ltd. | 31.43 | 34.57 | 45.57 | 55.14 | 33.81 | 44.97 | 44.89 | Yes | 2 |



"Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-

FIRMS RELEVANT EXPERIENCE FOR THE ASSIGNMENT

ANNEXURE-III

| S.N | Applicant No. | Max | | | 7 | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-------|--|--------------------------|--|--|---|--------------------------|--|--------------------|
| 6 | Description | Marks | M/s Systra S. A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt_Ltd. | ng Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | 2/4 M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | r Y Arquitecture vication with SA itants Pvt. Ltd. | 3/4 M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | | 4/4 M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited in association with Meinhardt Singapore PTE. Ltd. | with Meinhardt |
| | | | Assesed | Remarks | Assesed | Remarks | Assesed | Remarks | Assesed | Project considered |
| 1 Year (| Year of establishment of the firm (in case of JV, year of establishment of lead member shall be considered). | 2 | 2 | | | | 2 | | | |
| Up to | Up to 5 years: 1.5 marks | 1.5 | 1.5 | | | L | 1.5 | | r - | |
| More | More than 5 years: 2 marks | 9.0 | 0.5 | | | | 5.0 | | i c | |
| Avera two y | Average Annual Turnover (last 5 years or in each of the preceding two years) from consultancy business | 2 | 2 | | | | 2 | | | |
| < Rs 2 | < Rs 22.39 crore: 0 marks | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | Rs 22.39crore: 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | | | | 5.1 | | , L | |
| Add fi mark subjec | Add for additional turnover 0.25 (zero point two five) mark for every Rs 11.19 crore above Rs 22.39 crore subject to maximum 0.5 marks. | 0.5 | 0.5 | | 7 | | 0.5 | | 0.5 | |
| Exper Engine the la | Experience in construction supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority's Engineer of a tunnels** project during the last 7 years of minimum length equal to or more than 1.94 km in a single stretch | 16 | 16 | | | | 16 | | 15 | |
| 1 | (i) 1.94 km = 0 | 0 | | | Non-Responsive | onsive | | | | |
| 3 (ii) ≥1. | (ii) ≥1.94 Km to 4.00 Km = 12 | 12 | | | | ********** | | | | |
| (iii) ≥4 | (iii) ≥4.00 to 6.00 Km = 13 | 13 | | Pr. No. 3 | | | | Pr No 1 | | 2 |
| (iv) >6 | (iv) >6.00 to 8.00 Km = 14 | 14 | | (12800 m) | | | | (28300 m) | | (8600 m) |
| 8 < (v) | (v) > 8.00 and equal to 10.00 Km = 15 | 15 | | • | | 1 | | (0000-1) | 7 | (111) |
| (vi) > | (vi) >10 and above = 16 | 16 | 16 | | | | 16 | | Ç | |
| Experi of tun Km in | Experience in DPR preparation/Design/Feasibility Study of tunnel projects* of length equal to or more than 1.94 Km in a single stretch in last 7 years. | Ŋ | 4.5 | | 1 | × 11 | 4.5 | | ın | |
| • | 1 project: 4 marks | 4 | 4 | | | | 4 | : | 7 | |
| Add 0 additi | Add 0.5 (zero point two five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 mark. | s | 0.5 | Pr. No. 9,10 | | | 0.5 | (4164.55 m and 3893.5 m) | | Pr. No. 1,2,3,6 |
| Total | al | 25.00 | 24.50 | | | | 24.50 | | 24.00 | |
| | | | 1 | | 2 | | | | • | |

Note: In case of JV the turnover and experience details of Lead and JV partners will be added for evaluation. Credentials of associate firm, except key personnel.

Note 2: Employer's certificate should be submitted substantiating the experience claimed by the firm.

**Tunnel Works for road/rail/metro/Hydro Tunnel will be considered as applicable for the project for which the RFP is invited.





Responsive

~

83.66

59.66

24.00

M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited in association with Meinhardt Singapore PTE.

4/4

| * #E | "Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend –Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand" | of 2-lane Bi-l etween Ch. 2 | Direct 25.4 k Uttaı | Directional Silkyara Ber 15.4km and Ch. 51.0kr Uttarakhand" | nd –Barkot Tun m falling along | nel with escap NH-134 (old NF | e passage including 1-94) in the State of |
|---------|--|--|---------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Final C | Final Comparative Technical Evaluation Score. | | | | | | |
| S. No. | Name of Bidder | Relevant Experience for the assignment | | Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment | Total | Rank of the Firm | whether the Technical Responsive Applicant/Bidder Eligible for opening of |
| | | Assessed | 75 | Assessed | Assessed | | Financial proposal |
| | Maximum marks | 25 | | 75 | 100 | | |
| 1/4 | M/s Systra S.A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | 24.50 | | 45.99 | 70.49 | æ | Non-Responsive** |
| 2/4 | M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | | | | Non-Responsive | nsive | |
| 3/4 | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | 24.50 | | 68.93 | 93.43 | Н | Responsive |

Name of the Project

**(More than 3 CV i.e. (Resident cum Tunnel Excavation Expert, Tunnel Design Engineer, Tunnel Safety Expert, Sr. Geotechnical Expert, Sr. Geologist, Tunnel ventilation Cum E&M expert, Instrumentation & Control (SCADA) Expert) scores less than 75% marks, therefore the proposal shall be considered Non – Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (iv) (f) of RFP. Hence bidder has considered non-responsive.) Note

| | | | | 1/4 | | | 2/4 | | | 2/4 | | | 8,8 | |
|--------|--|-------|--|-------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| S. No. | o. Details | Total | M/s Systra S.A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | in associat | ion with ts Pvt. Ltd. | M/s AYESA ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) in association with SA infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | genieria Y A) in associat e Consultan | rquitecture ion with SA ts Pvt. Ltd. | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | Setinsa Euroestud With M/s Rodic (Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | dios S.L. in Consultants | 4/4 M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited in association with Meinhardt Singapore PTE. Ltd. | tech Priva leinhardt S Ltd. | e Limited in ingapore PTE. |
| | | | Name | Score | Assesed @75 | Name | Score | Assesed @75 | Name | Score | Assesed @75 | Name | Score | Assesed @75 |
| н | Team Leader cum Senior Tunnel Expert | 15 | Mr. Apostolos Dimos | 93 | 13.95 | | | | Mr. Nikolaos Vittis | 06 | 13.5 | Mr. Nikolaos Kostopoulous | 06 | 13.5 |
| 2 | Resident cum Tunnel Excavation Expert | 10 | Mr. Sindhu Mishra | 0 | 0 | | | | Mr.Lalit Kumar Verma | 06 | 6 | Mr. Rayees Ahmed | 80 | ∞ |
| 3 | Tunnel Design Engineer | 10 | Mr. Nishith Gupta | 69 | 6.9 | | | | Mr. Jaime Qtazua | 94 | 9.4 | Mr. Hussein Ahmed Faizi | 68 | 6.8 |
| 4 | Tunnel Safety Expert | ∞ | Mr. Turki Mohammad Said | 62.5 | · · | | | | Mr. Shamsha Ali | 91 | 7.28 | Mr. Janardhanamvarat harajan | 87.5 | 7 |
| r. | Senior Geotechnical Expert | 10 | Mr. Christos Gioldasis | 45 | 4.5 | ŌN | Non-Responsive | Ψ | Mr. Konstantinos Stergiopoulos | 06 | ō | Mr. Rocky Tay | 98 | 9.6 |
| 9 | Senior Geologist | 2 | Mr. Jang Bahadur Choudhary | 74 | 5.18 | | | | Mr. Sarvesh Misra | 95 | 6.65 | Mr. Pankaj Punetha | 97 | 6.79 |
| 7 | Tunnel ventilation Cum E&M expert | 7 | Mr. Dilgeer Singh | 80 | 5.6 | | | | Mr. Rajnish Kumar Sharma | 95 | 6.65 | Mr. Neeraj Sahu | 0 | 0 |
| ∞ | Contract Specialist | ю | Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Bhatnagar | 87 | 2.61 | | | | Mr. Indla Hema Chandrudu | 06 | 2.7 | Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Agarwal | 62 | 2.37 |
| 6 | Instrumentation & Control (SCADA) Expert | 2 | Mr. Sumanto Dutta | 45 | 2.25 | | | | Mr. Anurag Swain | 95 | 4.75 | Mr. Karamvir Singh | 06 | 4.5 |
| | | 75 | | | 45.99 | | | 0.00 | | | 68.93 | | | 99.69 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Summary Sheet of CV's



| 5. | Applicant No. | Max | 1/4 | 3/4 | 4/4 |
|--|---|-------|---|--|---|
| No. | | Marks | <i>"-</i> | | market and the name |
| | Name of the Applicant | | M/s Systra S.A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited in association with Meinhardt Singapore PTE. |
| | Name of Key Personnel | | Mr. Apostolos Dimos | Mr. Nikolaos Vittis | Mr. Nikolaos Kostopoulous |
| | Date of Birth of KP's Description | | 21649 Assessed | Z1499 Assessed | 26/03/1958 Assessed |
| 1 Gen | neral Qualification | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| i) Grad | duate in Civil/Mining Engineering or equivalent | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| | t-Graduate or chartered engineer in civil/Mining | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Engi | ineering or equivalent | | | | Post Graduation marks not alloted because different specific |
| ote:- | | | | - | i.e. Environmental Geology |
| | quacy for the Project | 75 | | | 0235 |
| | al Professional Experience years - 0 marks | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| | years - 5 marks | | | - | 5 |
| | 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience | - | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| | ject to maximum 2 (two) marks. | | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| ote:- | | | Project no. 1 to 12 considered i.e. 30 Years 5 months | Project no. 1 to 15 i.e. 35 years 9 month | Project no. 1 to 17 i.e. 35years |
| | al professional experience in handling major nelling projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| ii) <15 | years – 0 marks | | | | |
| | ears – 6 marks | | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| | 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience | | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| subi | ect to maximum 2 (two) marks. | | Project no. 1 to 12 i.e. 30 Years 5 months | Project no. 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 i.e. 23 years 1 month | Project no. 1, 5,7, 8, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, i.e. 18 years |
| supe | erience in major tunnel construction/construction ervision projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) in Developed ntries and Middle East Countries. | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| | years – 0 marks | | | | |
| | ears - 7 marks | | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| | 1.5 marks extra for each additional year of | | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| | erience subject to maximum 3 marks. | | | | |
| ote:- | | | Project no. 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11 i.e. 22 years 8 months | Project no. 4, 7,10 to 15 i.e. 20 years | Project no. 1, 5, 7, 8,10,12 i.e. 15 years |
| Experimental Experimental Experimental (Roa 1.94 | | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| <5 ye | ears - 0 marks | | | | |
| | ars - 7 marks | | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| | 1.5 marks extra for each additional year of erience subject to maximum 3 marks. | | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| ote:- | | | Project no. 1,2,3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 i.e. 19 years 1 month | Project no. 4, 7, 10, 12 to 15 .e. 19 years | Project no. 5, 7, 8, 14,16 i.e. 8 years |
| proje | rience as Team Leader or similar capacity of ect Preparation/ Design of tunnel projects rd/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of length 1.94 Km or more | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 1 Pro | oject - 8 marks | | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Add | 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject to | | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| maxi ote:- | imum 2 marks. | | Project no. 5, 6 and 8 | Project no. 8, 9, 11, 15 | Project no. 10, 12, 15, 17 |
| Expe iii) cons of le | rience as Team Leader or similar capacity in truction supervision of tunnels (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) ngth 1.94km or more | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| | rojects - 0 marks | | | | |
| | ojects - 15 marks | | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| | 1.25 mark extra for each additional projects subject aximum 5(five) marks | | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| ote:- | | | Project no. 1,2,3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 | Project no. 4, 7, 10, 12 to 15 | Project no. 5, 7, 8, 10,12,14,16, are considered for evaluating the continuous contemporary of the project not considered because Pr. No. 1,2,3 and 6-no similar capacity Pr. No. 4,9,11,13-no tunnel work Pr. No. 1,0,13 and 17-0PR project. |
| | rience in construction supervision of major tunnel ects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|) 1 pro | oject - 4 marks | | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 2 or i | more projects - 5 marks | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| te:- | | | Project no. 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 | Project no. 4, 12, 14 | Project no.1, 8, 12,14, 16 |
| _ | loyment with the Firm | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | ear -0 | ** | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | ear to 2 years - 2 marks | | | J | U |
| | ears to 3 years - 3 marks | | 3 | | |
| | ears - 5 marks | | 3 | | |
| ote:- | | | 2 yaers 9 months with Systra | Employed with Systra since 01.12.2016 to 31.01.2018 now till date not employed with Systra | Employed with AECOM India Pvt Ltd. |
| | | 100 | 93 | 90 | 90 |
| | Total | 100 | 13.95 | | 13.5 |
| | Weightage @ 75 | 15 | 14 45 | 13.5 | |

Similar capacity considered- Team leader, Project manager, Resident Enginner, Sr. Tunnel Expert, Project Head, Project Director.

| S. | Applicant No. | Max | 1/4 | 3/4 | 4/4 |
|--|--|-------|---|---|---|
| No. | Approxime roc | Marks | | 37 | |
| | Name of the Applicant | | M/s Systra S.A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited association with Meinhardt Singapo PTE. Ltd. |
| | | | | | |
| | Name of Key Personnel Date of Birth of KP's | | Mr. Sindhu Mishra 16/10/1970 | Mr.Lalit Kumar Verma 26/08/1967 | Mr. Rayees Ahmed 20/11/1974 Assessed |
| 1 Ge | Description eneral Qualification | 25 | Assessed 0 | Assessed 20 | 20 |
| 1000 | Graduate in Civil/Mining Engineering | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 |
| 11) | Post graduate or Chartered in Civil/Mining Engineering | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ote:- | | | The key personnel has provided two certificates with different year of passing as 1994-95 and March 2000 for same academic qualification i.e B.E in civil Engineering Therefore, as per clause 1.9(a)(iii) for fraudalent practise, this CV is being awarded 0 marks and necessary action may be taken. | e e | 5 |
| | dequacy for the Project otal Experience in supervision of major Tunnel Projects | 75 | | | |
| (Re | oad/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnell 15 years - 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 15 |
| | years - 12 marks | | Ü | 12 | 12 |
| | dd 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience bject to maximum 3 (three) marks | | | 3 | 3 |
| | | | | | |
| ote:- | | | | Project no. 1,2,4,6,7,9,10,11 i.e. 19 years | Project no. 2, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11 i.e. 18 years consider NOT CONSIDERED:- Pr no. 1,3 - no tunnel work Pr. No. 5,8,9- DPR |
| tur | perience in construction supervision of major nnelling projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) in | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| | eveloped countries and Middle East Countries 7 years - 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| 7 y | years - 7 marks | | | 7 | |
| | ld 1.5 marks extra for each additional year of perience subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. | | | 3 | |
| ote:- | | | | Project no. 6, 7, 9, 10, 11 i.e. 12 years 10 months | Project no. 7 i.e. 2 years 5 month considered an NOT CONSIDERED:- All other project done in India |
| cap | perience as Tunnel Excavation Expert or similar pacity in construction supervision of major nnelling projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| i) < 1 | 10 years - 0 | | 0 | | |
| | years - 7 marks | | | 7 | 7 |
| | ld 1.5 marks extra for each additional year of perience subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. | | | 3 | 3 |
| ote:- | | | | Project no. 2, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11 i.e. 17 γears 4 months | Project no. 4, 6,7, 10, 11 i.e. 15 Years 2months |
| | perience as Tunnel Excavation Expert or similar pacity in construction supervision of tunnel | 20 | | 20 | 20 |
| len | ojects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of minimum ngth of 1.94 km (minimum one year supervision in a | | 0 | 20 | 20 |
| (× 2 | niect) 2 projects - 0 | | 0 | | |
| | projects - 15 marks | | | 15 | 15 |
| | d 2.5 marks extra for each additional projects bject to 5 (five) marks. | | | 5 | 5 |
| ote:- | | | | Project no. 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11 | Project no. 4, 6, 7, 10, 11 |
| | perience as Tunnel Excavation Expert or similar pacity in tunnel design/ DPR/ preparation / | | | | 10 |
| cap fea tun | nsibility study/design review involving major nnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum ear experience in a project) | 10 | 0 | 10 | |
| cap fea tun i) 1 y | nsibility study/design review involving major nnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum rear experience in a project) project - 7 marks | 10 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| cap fea tun i) 1 y | nsibility study/design review involving major nnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum rear experience in a project) | 10 | 0 | 10000 | 7 |
| cap fea tun i) 1 y 1 p Ado to | usibility study/design review involving major nnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum rear experience in a project) project - 7 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject | 10 | 0 | 7 3 Project no. 3, 5, 8, 12 | Project no. 5, 8,6 9,10 and NOT CONSIDERED: |
| featumi) 1 y 1 p Addito i | asibility study/design review involving major nnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum ear experience in a project) project - 7 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 3 (three) marks. | 5 | 0 | 7 3 Project no. 3, 5, 8, 12 | Project no. 5, 8,6 9,10 and NOT CONSIDERED: |
| fea tun 1 y 1 p Add to i | nsibility study/design review involving major nnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum ear experience in a project) project - 7 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 3 (three) marks. | | | 7 3 Project no. 3, 5, 8, 12 | Project no. 5, 8,6 9,10 and NOT CONSIDERED: all other project are construction supervision proje |
| cap fea tun i) 1 y Add to i te:- | usibility study/design review involving major near projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum near experience in a project) project - 7 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 3 (three) marks. perience in construction of major tunnel ojects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM | | | 7 3 Project no. 3, 5, 8, 12 | Project no. 5, 8,6 9,30 and NOT CONSIDERED- all other project are communities supervision project |
| cap fea tun 1 y 1 p Add to 1 Expro | sibility study/design review involving major nnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum ear experience in a project) project - 7 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 3 (three) marks. perience in construction of major tunnel ojects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM project - 3 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject | | | 7 3 Project no. 3, 5, 8, 12 5 3 | 3 Project no. 5, 8, 6, 9, 30 and NOT CONSIDERED- all other project are construction supervision projections of the supervision projection supervision projections of the supervision projection projection projections of the supervision projection projection projection projections of the supervision projection |
| cap fea tun 1 y 1 p Add to 1 Exp processes: | sibility study/design review involving major nnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum ear experience in a project) project - 7 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 3 (three) marks. perience in construction of major tunnel ojects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM project - 3 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject | | | 7 3 Project no. 3, 5, 8, 12 5 3 2 | 3 Project no. 5, 8, 6, 9, 30 and NOT CONSIDERED- all other project are construction supervision project 5 3 2 |
| cap fea turn in it is | asibility study/design review involving major nuel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum rear experience in a project) project - 7 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 3 (three) marks. perience in construction of major tunnel pojects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM project - 3 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 2 (two) marks. | 5 | 0 | 7 3 Project no. 3, 5, 8, 12 5 3 2 Project no. 1, 2, 4 | Project no. 5, 8,6 9,30 and NOT CONSDERED- all other project are construction supervision project as a construction supervision project as 2 |
| cap fea tun 1 y 1 p Add to 1 to | asibility study/design review involving major anel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum rear experience in a project) project - 7 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 3 (three) marks. perience in construction of major tunnel ojects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM project - 3 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 2 (two) marks. | 5 | 0 | 7 3 Project no. 3, 5, 8, 12 5 3 2 Project no. 1, 2, 4 | Project no. 5, 8,6 9,10 and NOT CONSDERED- all other project are construction supervision project as a construction supervision project as 2 Project no. 4, 6, 10, 11 |
| cap fea tun 1 y 1 p Add to 1 l te:- Exp pro 1 p Add to 1 l te:- Exp pro 2 p Add to 1 l te:- Em < 1 p Add to 1 l te:- | asibility study/design review involving major nnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum rear experience in a project) oroject - 7 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 3 (three) marks. perience in construction of major tunnel pojects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM oroject - 3 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 2 (two) marks. | 5 | 0 | 7 3 Project no. 3, 5, 8, 12 5 3 2 Project no. 1, 2, 4 | Project no. 5, 8,6 9,30 and NOT CONSIDERED- all other project are construction supervision project 5 3 2 Project no. 4, 6, 10, 11 |
| Exproduction Exproduction Exproduction Exproduction Exproduction Add to 1 Add to 1 Add to 1 Add to 1 | asibility study/design review involving major anel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum rear experience in a project) project - 7 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 3 (three) marks. perience in construction of major tunnel ojects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM project - 3 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 2 (two) marks. | 5 | 0 | 7 3 Project no. 3, 5, 8, 12 5 3 2 Project no. 1, 2, 4 0 0 | 3 Project no. 5, 8, 6, 9, 10 and NOT CONSIDERED. all other project are construction supervision projects. 3 2 Project no. 4, 6, 10, 11 |
| cap fea tun 1 y 1 p Add to 1 l | asibility study/design review involving major nunel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum near experience in a project) oroject - 7 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 3 (three) marks. perience in construction of major tunnel ojects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM roject - 3 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 2 (two) marks. | 5 | 0 | 7 3 Project no. 3, 5, 8, 12 5 3 2 Project no. 1, 2, 4 0 0 C | 3 Project no. 5, 8, 6, 9, 10 and NOT CONSIDERED. all other project are construction supervision proje 5 3 2 Project no. 4, 6, 10, 11 0 0 Employed with EPTISA India Pvt Ltd |
| Exproduction Exproduction Exproduction Exproduction Exproduction Add to 1 Add to 1 Add to 1 Add to 1 | asibility study/design review involving major nnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) (minimum rear experience in a project) oroject - 7 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 3 (three) marks. perience in construction of major tunnel pojects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM oroject - 3 marks d 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject maximum 2 (two) marks. | 5 | 0 | 7 3 Project no. 3, 5, 8, 12 5 3 2 Project no. 1, 2, 4 0 0 | 3 Project no. 5, 8, 6, 9, 30 and NOT CONSIDERED. all other project are construction supervision projects. 3 2 Project no. 4, 6, 10, 11 0 0 |

TERED

| S. | Applicant No. | Max | *** | 1 20 | |
|--|--|-------|--|--|---|
| No. | Аррісані но. | Marks | 1/4 | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in | M/s Energy Infratech Private Limit |
| | Name of the Applicant | | M/s Systra S.A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | association with Meinhardt Singar PTE. Ltd. |
| | Name of Key Personnel Date of Birth of KP's | | Mr. Nishith Gupta 19/05/1966 | Mr. Jaime Qtazua 09-11-66 | Mr. Hussein Ahmed Faizi 27/03/1958 |
| ı | Description | | Assessed | Assessed | Assessed |
| 337.4 | General Qualification | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| - 1 |) Graduate in Civil/Mining Engineering I) Post-Graduate in Engineering (Structural)/Mining | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| | Engineering | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ote: | • | | Post Graduation different Specilization | A CALL TO A THE RESIDENCE OF THE STATE OF TH | * |
| 2 4 | Adequacy for the Project | 75 | | | |
| 1 | otal Experience Professional Experience | 15 | 11 | 15 | 15 |
| | 20 years -0 | | | | |
| | 0 years -11 | | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| | add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks | | | 4 | 4 |
| ote: | | | Project no. 1 to 23 i.e. 20 years 11 months | Project no. 1 to 19 i.e. 25 years 8 month | Project no. 1 to 15 i.e 34 years |
| | xperience of design/ project preparation/ | | | | |
| (| reliminary study involving major Tunnel Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) projects in developed ountries and Middle East Countries | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| ii) < | 10 years - 0 | | 0 | | |
| | 0 years- 7 marks | | | 7 | 7 |
| | dd 1 mark extra for each additional year of xperience subject to maximum 3 (three) | | | 3 | 3 |
| | narks | | Project no. 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13 i.e.5 yaers considered. | | |
| ote:- | | | NOT CONSIDERED: In pr. No. 2 tunnel length < 1.5 km In pr. No. 5 · No tunnel work | Project no. 1 to 4, 6, 7 to 11, 13, 15 i.e. 17 years 8 month | Project no. 1, 4,3, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15 i.e. 26 year |
| | xperience in tunnel design (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro | | - | | |
| | unnel) rorks in similar capacity | 10 | 8 | 10 | 10 |
| | 12 years - 0 | | | | |
| 1 (i) | 2 years - 7 marks | | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| | dd 1 mark extra for each additional year of xperience subject to maximum 3 (three) | | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | narks. | | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| ote:- | | | Project no. 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 i.e. 13 years 2 months NOT CONSIDERED: In all other projects key personnel has not worked in similar capacity and project no. 5 does not involve any tunnel work. | Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 i.e. 21 years | Project no.4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 14 i.e. 17 y considered. NOT CONSIDERED: All other projects are not done in similar capa: Chief Engineer, tunnel expert considered similar. |
| | xperience in similar capacity in Project reparation/DPR involving design of tunnels | | | | |
| (F | toad/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of more than 1.94 km ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 |
| (F le | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 |
| (F le | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks | 15 | 11 | 15 | 11 |
| (F le | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 | 15 | | | |
| (File | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects | 15 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| (File | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bject to maximum 4 (four) marks. experience in similar capacity in enstruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of ennels of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 | 10 | 11 4 | 11 4 | 11 3 |
| (File | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects abject to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in nostruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of | | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14, 15, 19, 20 | 11 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 | 11 3 Project no.4, 6, 8,11,13,15 |
| (File | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in onstruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of nnels of more than 1.94 km length (Min. 1 are experience in project) projects - 0 projects - 0 projects - 7 marks | | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14,15, 19,20 | 11 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 | 11 3 Project no.4, 6, 8,11,13,15 |
| (File lee lee lee lee lee lee lee lee lee | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bipiect to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in nostruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of nonets of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 tare experience in projects) | | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14,15, 19,20 | 11 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 | 11 3 Project no.4, 6, 8,11,13,15 |
| (File | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects biblect to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in sustruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of nnels of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 ara experience in project) projects - 0 projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects | | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14,15, 19, 20 0 0 Project no. 9 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. | 11 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 | 11 3 Project no.4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 |
| (File le l | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bibiect to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in sustruction/construction supervision opects involving design/design review of nnels of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 ara experience in project) projects - 0 projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects bipect to maximum 3(three) marks. | | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14, 15, 19, 20 0 0 | 11 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 | 11 3 Project no.4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 |
| (File le l | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in onstruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of onnels of more than 1.94 km length (Min. 1 are experience in project) projects - 0 projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 3 (three) marks. | 10 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14, 15, 19, 20 0 0 Project no. 9 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPN/reaubility study. | 11 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 | 11 3 Project no.4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12,10, 13,14, 15 |
| (File le l | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in nostruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of nonets of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 tare experience in project) projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects bject to maximum 3(three) marks. | 10 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14, 15, 19, 20 0 0 Project no. 9 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPR/Feasibility study. | 11 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 | 11 3 Project no. 4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12,10, 13,14, 15 |
| (File let let let let let let let let let l | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in nostruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of nonets of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 tare axperience in project) projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects biject to maximum 3(three) marks. | 10 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14,15, 19, 20 0 0 Project no. 9 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPN/Fessibility study. | 11 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 | 11 3 Project no. 4, 6, 8, 11, 13, 15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12, 10, 13, 14, 15 5 |
| (Figure 1) (Figure 2) | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in nostruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of nonets of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 tare axperience in project) projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects biject to maximum 3(three) marks. | 10 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14, 15, 19, 20 0 0 Project no. 9 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPR/Feasibility study. | 111 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 4 4 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 No roundingero. No septement of immostative furned Design in other | 11 3 Project no.4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12,10, 13,14, 15 5 4 1 |
| (File le l | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects biblect to maximum 4 (four) marks. uperience in similar capacity in postruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of nnels of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 ara experience in project) projects - 0 projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 3(three) marks. dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects biperience in innovation tunnel design oad/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) like immersed tunnel dd sub-sea bored tunnel projects - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks perience in design tunnel | 10 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14, 15, 19, 20 0 0 Project no. 9 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPR/Feasibility study. 5 4 1 project no. 1 and 4 | 11 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 4 4 4 Project no. 15 NOT CONSIDERD. No experience of immediate funnel Design in other projects. | 11. 3 Project no.4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12,10, 13,14, 15 5 4 1 No experience in innovation tunnel design |
| (File le l | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in nostruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of nnels of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 are experience in project) projects - 0 projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 3(three) marks. | 10 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14, 15, 19, 20 0 0 Project no. 5 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPN/Feasibility study. 5 4 1 project no. 1 and 4 | 111 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 4 4 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 No experience of innovative Tunnel Design in other projects 5 | 11 3 Project no.4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12,10, 13,14, 15 5 4 1 No experience in innovation tunnel design |
| (File File F | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in onstruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of nnels of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 targe experience in project) t projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects biject to maximum 3(three) marks. dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects biject to maximum 3(three) marks. projects - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks perience in innovation tunnel design oad/Raii/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) like immersed tunnel projects - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks | 10 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14, 15, 19, 20 0 0 Project no. 9 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPR/Feasibility study. 5 4 1 project no. 1 and 4 | 11 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 4 4 4 Project no. 15 NoT CONSIDERD. No experience of involvement funded Design in other projects 5 4 | 11 3 Project no. 4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12,10, 13,14, 15 5 4 1 No experience in innovation tunnel design 5 4 |
| (Find the second | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 10 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in nostruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of nnels of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 tare experience in project) to projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects biject to maximum 3(three) marks. dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects biject to maximum 3(three) marks. projects - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks perience in design tunnel ojects(Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM project - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks | 5 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14, 15, 19, 20 0 0 Project no. 3 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPN/reasibility study. 5 4 1 project no. 1 and 4 5 4 1 Project no. 4, 14, 15 | 111 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 4 4 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 No experience of innovative funnel Design in other projects 5 4 1 Project no. 1, 36, 9, 14, 18, 19 | 11 3 Project no.4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12,10, 13,14, 15 5 4 1 No experience in innovation tunnel design 5 4 1 Project no. 6, 13, 14, 15 |
| (Find the content of | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in onstruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of nnels of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 targe experience in project) t projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects biject to maximum 3(three) marks. dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects biject to maximum 3(three) marks. projects - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks perience in innovation tunnel design oad/Raii/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) like immersed tunnel projects - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks | 10 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14,15, 19, 20 0 0 Project no. 9 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPN/reasibility study. 5 4 1 project no. 1 and 4 5 4 | 111 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 4 4 4 Project no. 15 NOT CONSIDERED. No experience of innovative funel Design in other projects 5 4 1 | 11 3 Project no. 4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12,10, 13,14, 15 5 4 1 No experience in innovation tunnel design 5 4 1 Project no. 6, 13, 14, 15 0 |
| (File File F | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in nostruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of nonels of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 tare experience in oriect) projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects biject to maximum 3(three) marks. projects - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks | 5 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14, 15, 19, 20 0 0 Project no. 3 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPN/reasibility study. 5 4 1 project no. 1 and 4 5 4 1 Project no. 4, 14, 15 | 111 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 4 4 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 No experience of innovative funnel Design in other projects 5 4 1 Project no. 1, 36, 9, 14, 18, 19 | 11 3 Project no.4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12,10, 13,14, 15 5 4 1 No experience in innovation tunnel design 5 4 1 Project no. 6, 13, 14, 15 |
| (File File F | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in mostruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 tare experience in project) projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects biject to maximum 3(three) marks. sperience in innovation tunnel design oad/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) like immersed tunnel dd sub-sea bored tunnel projects - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks | 5 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14, 15, 19, 20 0 0 Project no. 3 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPN/reasibility study. 5 4 1 project no. 1 and 4 5 4 1 Project no. 4, 14, 15 | 111 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 4 4 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 No experience of innovative funnel Design in other projects 5 4 1 Project no. 1, 36, 9, 14, 18, 19 | 11 3 Project no. 4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12,10, 13,14, 15 5 4 1 No experience in innovation tunnel design 5 4 1 Project no. 6, 13, 14, 15 0 |
| (File File F | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks did 1 mark extra for each additional projects biblect to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in mstruction/construction supervision ojects involving design/design review of nnels of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 ara experience in project) projects - 0 projects of marks did 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 3(three) marks. perience in innovation tunnel design oad/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) like immersed tunnel did sub-sea bored tunnel projects - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks perience in design tunnel ojects(Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM project - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks | 5 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14, 15, 19, 20 0 0 Project no. 3 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPN/reasibility study. 5 4 1 project no. 1 and 4 5 4 1 Project no. 4, 14, 15 | 111 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 4 4 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 No experience of innovative funnel Design in other projects 5 4 1 Project no. 1, 36, 9, 14, 18, 19 | 11 3 Project no. 4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12,10, 13,14, 15 5 4 1 No experience in innovation tunnel design 5 4 1 Project no. 6, 13, 14, 15 |
| (File File F | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bibiect to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in substruction/construction supervision opicts involving design/design review of nnels of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 are experience in project) projects - 0 projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 3(three) marks. dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects bibject to maximum 3(three) marks. projects - 4 marks projects - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks perience in design tunnel ojects(Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) using NATM project - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks projects - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks | 5 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14, 15, 19, 20 0 0 Project no. 9 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPR/Feasibility study. 5 4 1 project no. 1 and 4 5 4 1 Project no. 4, 14, 15 5 | 11 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 4 4 4 Project no. 15 NoT COMBIGGED. No experience of innovative funnel Design in other projects 5 4 1 Project no. 1, 3, 6, 9, 14, 18, 19 5 | 11 3 Project no. 4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12,10, 13,14, 15 5 4 1 No experience in innovation tunnel design 5 4 1 Project no. 6, 13, 14, 15 0 0 |
| (R R R R R R R R R R | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects bibiect to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in unstruction/construction supervision opects involving design/design review of nnels of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 ara experience in project) projects - 0 projects - 0 projects - 0 projects between the or 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 ara experience in project) projects - 0 proje | 5 5 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14, 15, 19, 20 0 0 0 Project no. 3 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPR/Feasibility study. 5 4 1 project no. 1 and 4 5 4 1 Project no. 4, 14, 15 5 Employed with Systra Since 01,09,2015 to till date | 111 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 4 4 4 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 A Project no. 15 NOT CONSIDERED- No experience of innovative funel Design in other projects 5 4 1 Project no. 1, 3, 6, 9, 14, 18, 19 5 Employed with TPF Getinsa Eurostudies S.L. | 11 3 Project no.4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12,10, 13,14, 15 5 4 1 No experience in innovation tunnel design 5 4 1 Project no. 6, 13, 14, 15 0 0 Employed with Malaysia High Speed Rail Corporations of the second of |
| (Release Release Relea | ngth (Min. 1 year experience in a project) 3 projects - 0 projects - 11 marks dd 1 mark extra for each additional projects biplect to maximum 4 (four) marks. sperience in similar capacity in instruction/construction supervision opicts involving design/design review of nnels of more than 1.94 Km length (Min. 1 targe experience in project) the projects - 7 marks dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects biplect to maximum 3(three) marks. dd 1.5 mark extra for each additional projects biplect to maximum 3(three) marks. projects - 4 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks or more projects - 5 marks | 5 | 11 4 Project no. 6, 11,13, 14,15, 19, 20 0 0 0 Project no. 9 considered. NOT CONSIDERED. All other projects are of DPN/reasibility study. 5 4 1 project no. 1 and 4 5 4 1 Project no. 4, 14, 15 5 | 111 4 Project no. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 10 7 3 Project no. 5, 12, 14, 17 4 4 4 9 Project no. 15 NOT CONSIDERED. No experience of innovative funel Design in other projects 5 4 1 Project no. 1, 3,6, 9,14, 18, 19 5 | 11 3 Project no. 4, 6, 8,11,13,15 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 12,10, 13,14, 15 5 4 1 No experience in innovation tunnel design 5 4 1 Project no. 6, 13, 14, 15 0 0 |

Similar capacity considered- Tunnel Design Engineer, Team leader, Project manager, Sr. Tunnel Expert, Project Head, Project Director, General Manager, Chief Engineer.

| S. No. | TUNNEL SAFETY EXPERT | | | | |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|--|
| | Applicant No. | Marks | 1/4 | 3/4 | 4/4 |
| | Name of the Applicant | | M/s Systra S.A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited association with Meinhardt Singapor PTE. Ltd. |
| | Name of Key Personnel | | Mr. Turki Mohammad Said | Mr. Shamsha Ali | Mr. Janardhanamvaratharajan |
| | Date of Birth of KP's | | 15/01/1958 | 01-09-71 | 01-09-61 |
| | Description Contact Overliferation | | Assessed | Assessed | Assessed |
| | General Qualification Post-Graduate in Civil/Mining Engineering | 25 | 20 20 | 25 20 | 25 20 |
| I) | Any professional Certification from a | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| II) | recognized/statutory body on safety/health/shot firer | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Note: | 5 | | * | 5 | 7 |
| 2 | Adequacy for the Project | 75 | | | |
| - | Total Professional Experience | 15 | 0 | 11 | 15 |
| | < 20 years -0 | 13 | 0 | | 20 |
| a i) | 20 years -11 | | U U | 11 | 11 |
| aıı | Add 1 mark extra for each additional year | | | 11 | |
| | of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks. | | | | 4 |
| Note:- | | | Project no. 5 to 14 i.e. 15 years | Projectno. 1 to 9 i.e. 20 Yeears 7 month | Project no. 1 to 19 i.e. 34 Years |
| | Experience Tunnel (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) Safety Works | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| ii) | <10 years - 0 | | | 6219 | |
| | 10 years - 11 marks | | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| | Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks | | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Note:- | | | Project no. 9, 10, 13, 14 i.e.14 years | Projectno. 1 to 9 i.e. 20 Yeears 7 month | Project no. 3 to 6, 8, 11, 14, 15, 16, 19 i.e. 14 years |
| | International exposure in tunnel safety works in developed countries and Middle East Countries | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7 |
| iii) | <7 years - 0 | | | | |
| | 7 years - 7 marks | | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| | Add 1 mark extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. | | 3 | 3 | |
| | | | | 2 | Project no. 3, 4, 5 i.e. 7 Years considered. NOT CONSIDERED:- |
| lote:- | | | Project no. 9, 10, 13, 14 i.e.14 years | Project no. 3 to 9 i.e. 17 years 6 month | Pr. No. 2 - no tunnel work All other projects done in India. |
| | Experience in similar capacity of Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of minimum length of 1.94 Km | 20 | 17.5 | 20 | 17.5 |
| | < 2 projects - 0 | | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| _ | 2 projects - 15 marks Add 1.25 mark extra for each additional | | 15 | 15 | 2.5 |
| | projects subject to maximum 5 (five) | | 2.5 | 5 | |
| | marks. | | Project no. 9, 13, 14 considered. | | |
| | | | riojecciio. 5, 15, 14 considered. | | Project no. 11,14,15,16 |
| | | | NOT CONSIDERED: In all other projects Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage is not done. | Project no. 1,2, 6, 7, 8, 9 | Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects not shown. |
| lote:- | Experience in similar capacity of Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) | 10 | NOT CONSIDERED:- In all other projects Tunnel | Project no. 1,2, 6, 7, 8, 9 | Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage of |
| lote:- | Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel | | NOT CONSIDERED: In all other projects Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage is not done. | | Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects not shown. |
| o ii) | Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) | | NOT CONSIDERED: In all other projects Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage is not done. | 10 | Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects not shown. |
| o ii) | Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) 1 project - 8 marks | | NOT CONSIDERED:- In all other projects Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage is not done. O Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel | 10 | Experience in Tunnel Salety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects not shown. 8 8 Project no. 8 considered Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel |
| o ii) | Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) 1 project - 8 marks 2 or more - 10 marks | 10 | NOT CONSIDERED:- In all other projects Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage is not done. O Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown. | 10 8 2 Project no, 3, 4 | Experience in Tunnel Salety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects not shown. 8 Project no. 8 considered Experience in Tunnel Salety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown in other projects. |
| o ii) | Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) 1 project - 8 marks 2 or more - 10 marks Employment with the Firm | | NOT CONSIDERED:- In all other projects Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage is not done. O Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown. O | 10 8 2 Project no, 3, 4 | Experience in Tunnel Salety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects not shown. 8 Project no. 8 considered Experience in Tunnel Salety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown in other projects. |
| o ii) | Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) 1 project - 8 marks 2 or more - 10 marks Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0 | 10 | NOT CONSIDERED:- In all other projects Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage is not done. O Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown. | 10 8 2 Project no, 3, 4 | Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects not shown. 8 Project no. 8 considered Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown in other projects. |
| o ii) | Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) 1 project - 8 marks 2 or more - 10 marks Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0 > 1 year to 2 years - 2 marks | 10 | NOT CONSIDERED:- In all other projects Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage is not done. O Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown. O | 10 8 2 Project no, 3, 4 | Experience in Tunnel Salety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects not shown. 8 Project no. 8 considered Experience in Tunnel Salety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown in other projects. |
| o ii) | Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) 1 project - 8 marks 2 or more - 10 marks Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0 > 1 year to 2 years - 2 marks > 2 years to 3 years - 3 marks | 10 | NOT CONSIDERED:- In all other projects Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage is not done. O Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown. O | 10 8 2 Project no, 3, 4 | Experience in Tunnel Salety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects not shown. 8 Project no. 8 considered Experience in Tunnel Salety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown in other projects. |
| o ii) | Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) 1 project - 8 marks 2 or more - 10 marks Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0 > 1 year to 2 years - 2 marks | 10 | NOT CONSIDERED: In all other projects Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage is not done. O Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown. O O | 10 8 2 Project no, 3, 4 0 | Experience in Tunnel Salety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects not shown. 8 8 Project no. 8 considered Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown in other projects. 0 0 |
| o ii) | Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) 1 project - 8 marks 2 or more - 10 marks Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0 > 1 year to 2 years - 2 marks > 2 years to 3 years - 3 marks | 5 | NOT CONSIDERED: In all other projects Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage is not done. O Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown. O O Employed with Arabian BEMCO Contracting Co. Ltd | 10 8 2 Project no, 3, 4 0 0 0 | Experience in Tunnel Salety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects not shown. 8 8 Project no. 8 considered Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown in other projects. 0 0 Employed with L n T Heavy Civil Infra |
| o ii) | Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) 1 project - 8 marks 2 or more - 10 marks Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0 > 1 year to 2 years - 2 marks > 2 years to 3 years - 3 marks | 10 | NOT CONSIDERED: In all other projects Tunnel Safety Audits during construction stage is not done. O Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown. O O | 10 8 2 Project no, 3, 4 0 | Experience in Tunnel Salety Audits during construction stage of tunnel projects not shown. 8 8 Project no. 8 considered Experience in Tunnel Safety Audits in design stage on tunnel projects not shown in other projects. 0 0 |

Similar capacity considered- Safety Engineer

| | Senior Geotechnical Expert | | | 212 | 4/4 |
|-------------------|--|-----|--|---|---|
| S. No. | Applicant No. | Max | 1/4 | 3/4 | 4/4 |
| | Name of the Applicant | | M/s Systra S.A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited in association with Meinhardt Singapore PT Ltd. |
| | Name of Key Personnel | | Mr. Christos Gioldasis | Mr. Konstantinos Stergiopoulos | Mr. Rocky Tay |
| | Date of Birth of KP's | | 05-09-63 | 01-07-61 | 20/01/1957 Assessed |
| 1 G | Description eneral Qualification | 25 | Assessed 20 | Assessed 20 | 20 |
| | Graduate in Civil Engineering / Masters in | | | | |
| re | ngineering Geology or equivalent from a ecognized university Post Graduate in Rock Mechanics /Foundation | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| | ngineering/ Tunnel Engineering | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ote:- | | | - | | |
| 2 A | dequacy for the Project | 75 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| To | otal Professional Experience | 15 | 13 | 15 | 15 |
| | 20 years - 0 | | | | |
| |) years - 11 marks | | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| | dd 1 mark extra for each additional year of operience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks. | | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| ote:- | | | Project no. 1 to 9 i.e. 22 years 3 month | Project no. 1 to 16 i.e.33 years | Project no. 1 to 44 i.e. 39 years |
| Su (R | sperience in Construction/ Construction upervision of major tunnel projects soad/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) in eveloped and Middle East countries | 15 | 12 | 15 | 11 |
| ii) < 1 | 12 years - 0 | | | | 0 |
| 12 | years - 11 marks | | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| | dd 1 mark extra for each additional year of sperience subject to maximum 4 (three) marks | | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| ote:- | | | Project no. 4, 5,6 i.e. 13 years 10 months considered. NOT CONSIDERED: Pr. No. 1,2-construction work not done. Pr. No. 3-tunnel length not mentioned Pr. No. 7-tunnel very length out mentioned. | Project no. 3 to 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 14, 16 i.e. 20 years 3 months | Project no.7,10, 11,25 , 28 i.e. 12 years considered. NOT CONSIDERED:- Pr. No. 1 to 6,8,9,17 to 24,29,30,31,33 to 41,44- no tuni work of the constant of the |
| | perience as Geotechnical engineer or similar pacity in construction/ construction supervision of | | | | |
| at Tu | least 4 tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro innel) of length | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| | ual to or more than 1.94 km 4 projects - 0 | | 0 | | |
| | projects -17 marks | | U | 17 | 17 |
| Ad | ld 2 mark extra for each additional project subject maximum 8 (eight) marks. | | | 8 | 8 |
| ote:- | | | Project no. 8 considered. NOT CONSIDERED: In all other project there is no similar capacity. In project no. 9 there no tunnelwork. In project no. 2- tunnel length < 1.94 km. | Project no. 3 to 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 14, 16 | Project no. 7,10,12,11, 26, 28,13,42 considered. NOT CONSIDERED: Pr. No. 1 to 6,8,9,14,16,17 to 24,29,30,31,33 to 41,44-n tunnel work. Pr. No. 27,43- DPR project. Pr. No. 25,15,32- tunnel length less tham 1.94 Km. |
| caj pro lea | perience as Geotechnical engineer or similar pacity in design/project preparation of tunnel ojects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) of at ast 1.94km length | 15 | 0 | 15 | 15 |
| | 2 projects - 0 | | 0 | 4.4 | 1.1 |
| Ad | orojects - 11 marks Id 2 marks extra for each additional projects bject to maximum 4 (four) marks. | | | 4 | 4 |
| ite:- | | | Project no. 8 considered. NOT CONSIDERED: In all other project there is no similar capacity. In project no. 9 there no tunnel work. In project no. 2: tunnel length < 1.94 km. | Project no. 2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15 | Project no. 7, ,12, 26,27,42, 43 |
| Em | nployment with the Firm | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| < 1 | L year -0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 > 1 | L year to 2 years - 2 marks | | | | |
| > 2 | 2 years to 3 years - 3 marks | | | | |
| > 3 | 3 years - 5 marks | | | | |
| ite:- | | | Employed with EPTISA | Employed with Sotiropoulos and Associates SA | Employed with Meinhardt Infrastructure & Envirovement |
| | | 100 | | 90 | 86 |
| | Total : | 100 | 45 | | 2041 |
| | Weightage @ 75 | 10 | 4.5 | 9 / 6 | 8.6 |

| | SR. GEOLOGIST | | | | |
|-------|---|-------|--|---|---|
| S. | Applicant No. | Max | 1/4 | 3/4 | 4/4 |
| No. | Name of the Applicant | Marks | M/s Systra S.A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited association with Meinhardt Singapo PTE. Ltd. |
| | Name of Key Personnel Date of Birth of KP's | | Mr. Jang Bahadur Choudhary 15/11/1971 | Mr. Sarvesh Misra 13/01/1955 | Mr. Pankaj Punetha 10-08-56 |
| | Description | | Assessed | Assessed | Assessed |
| | General Qualification | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| 1 | I) Masters in Geology /Applied Geology from recognized university | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| 2 | Adequacy for the Project | 75 | | | |
| lote: | | | | | • |
| | Total Professional Experience | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 |
| | <20 years - 0 | | 0 | | |
| a i) | 20 years - 15 marks | | | 15 | 15 |
| | Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks. | | | 5 | 5 |
| ote: | 9 | | Project no. 3to 14 i.e. 18 Years 5 months | Project no. 1 to 14 i.e. 26 years | Project no. 1 to 12 i.e.29 years |
| | Experience in major Tunnel construction/construction supervision Projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) in Himalayan Region using NATM technology | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| ii) | < 5 years - 0 5 years - 12 marks | | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| | Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional year | | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| | subject to maximum 3 (three) marks | | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| ote:- | | | Project no. 6, 7, 9, 10,12,13 and 14 i.e.10 years | Project no. 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 i.e. 14 years 6 month | Project no. 9, 10, 11 i.e. 7 years 2 month |
| | Experience in major Tunnel (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) Construction works in similar capacity | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 |
| b i) | < 7 years - 0 | | | | |
| | 7 years - 12 marks | | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| | Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 3 (three) marks | | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| ote:- | | | Project no. 6, 7, 9, 10, 12 and 14 i.e.9 years 5months | Project no. 1, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 i.e. 20 years 9 | Project no. 6, 9, 10, 11 i.e. 10 years |
| | Experience in similar capacity in project preparation/DPR involving of major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) . (Min 1 year experience in a project) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 11) | <2 projects - 0 2 projects -7 marks | | | | |
| | 2 projects -/ marks | | - | - | 7 |
| | Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional | | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| | Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. | | 7 | 3 | 7 |
| | projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. | | | 35 | |
| ote:- | projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Experience in similar capacity in highway/Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro tunnel construction/construction supervision with minimum length of 1.94 Km | 10 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| ote:- | projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Experience in similar capacity in highway/Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro tunnel construction/construction supervision with | 10 | 3 Project no. 3, 4, 5, 11 | 3 Project no. 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 | 3 Project no. 2 to 5, 7, 8, 12 10 |
| iii) | projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Experience in similar capacity in highway/Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro tunnel construction/construction supervision with minimum length of 1.94 Km < 2 projects - 0 2 project - 7 marks Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional | 10 | 3 Project no. 3, 4, 5, 11 10 7 | 3 Project no. 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 10 7 | 3 Project no. 2 to 5, 7, 8, 12 10 7 |
| iii) | projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Experience in similar capacity in highway/Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro tunnel construction/construction supervision with minimum length of 1.94 Km < 2 projects - 0 2 project - 7 marks Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. | 10 | 3 Project no. 3, 4, 5, 11 10 7 3 | 3 Project no. 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 10 7 3 | 3 Project no. 2 to 5, 7, 8, 12 10 7 3 |
| ilii) | projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Experience in similar capacity in highway/Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro tunnel construction/construction supervision with minimum length of 1.94 Km < 2 projects - 0 2 project - 7 marks Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. | 10 | 3 Project no. 3, 4, 5, 11 10 7 | 3 Project no. 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 10 7 | 3 Project no. 2 to 5, 7, 8, 12 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 9, 10, 11 |
| iii) | projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Experience in similar capacity in highway/Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro tunnel construction/construction supervision with minimum length of 1.94 Km < 2 projects - 0 2 project - 7 marks Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. | 10 | 3 Project no. 3, 4, 5, 11 10 7 3 | 3 Project no. 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 10 7 3 | 3 Project no. 2 to 5, 7, 8, 12 10 7 3 |
| iii) | projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Experience in similar capacity in highway/Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro tunnel construction/construction supervision with minimum length of 1.94 Km < 2 projects - 0 2 project - 7 marks Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. | | 3 Project no. 3, 4, 5, 11 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 7, 9, 10,12, 14 | 3 Project no. 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 10 7 3 Project no. 1, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 | 3 Project no. 2 to 5, 7, 8, 12 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 9, 10, 11 |
| iii) | projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Experience in similar capacity in highway/Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro tunnel construction/construction supervision with minimum length of 1.94 Km < 2 projects - 0 2 projects - 7 marks Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Employment with the Firm | | 3 Project no. 3, 4, 5, 11 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 7, 9, 10,12, 14 0 | 3 Project no. 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 10 7 3 Project no. 1, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 0 | 3 Project no. 2 to 5, 7, 8, 12 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 9, 10, 11 |
| iii) | projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Experience in similar capacity in highway/Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro tunnel construction/construction supervision with minimum length of 1.94 Km < 2 projects - 0 2 projects - 7 marks Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0 | | 3 Project no. 3, 4, 5, 11 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 7, 9, 10,12, 14 0 | 3 Project no. 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 10 7 3 Project no. 1, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 0 | 3 Project no. 2 to 5, 7, 8, 12 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 9, 10, 11 2 |
| iii) | projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Experience in similar capacity in highway/Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro tunnel construction/construction supervision with minimum length of 1.94 Km < 2 projects - 0 2 project - 7 marks Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0 > 1 year to 2 years - 2 marks | | 3 Project no. 3, 4, 5, 11 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 7, 9, 10,12, 14 0 | 3 Project no. 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 10 7 3 Project no. 1, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 0 | 3 Project no. 2 to 5, 7, 8, 12 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 9, 10, 11 2 |
| iii) | Experience in similar capacity in highway/Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro tunnel construction/construction supervision with minimum length of 1.94 Km < 2 projects - 0 2 projects - 7 marks Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0 > 1 year to 2 years - 2 marks > 2 years to 3 years - 3 marks > 3 years - 5 marks | | 3 Project no. 3, 4, 5, 11 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 7, 9, 10,12, 14 0 | 3 Project no. 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 10 7 3 Project no. 1, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 0 | 3 Project no. 2 to 5, 7, 8, 12 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 9, 10, 11 2 |
| iii) | Experience in similar capacity in highway/Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro tunnel construction/construction supervision with minimum length of 1.94 Km < 2 projects - 0 2 projects - 7 marks Add 1.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0 > 1 year to 2 years - 2 marks > 2 years to 3 years - 3 marks > 3 years - 5 marks | | 3 Project no. 3, 4, 5, 11 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 7, 9, 10,12, 14 0 0 | Project no. 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 10 7 3 Project no. 1, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 0 0 0 Employed with National Hydroelectronic Power Corporation Ltd. | 3 Project no. 2 to 5, 7, 8, 12 10 7 3 Project no. 6, 9, 10, 11 2 |

Similar capacity considered- Geologist, Sr. Geologist, assistant G.M., Deputy Manager.

| Note: | Experience as Tunnel Ventilation Expert or similar capacity in Construction Supervision/IC of major tunnel | 20 | Project no. 1 to 5 i.e. 20 years 2 months | 20 | 0 |
|--------|---|-----|---|---|---|
| h :1 | works (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) < 7 years - 0 | | | | |
| b i) | 7 years - 10 marks | | 10 | 10 | |
| | Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 10 (ten) marks. | | 10 | 10 | |
| Note: | | | Project no. 1 to 3 i.e. 16 years 5 months | Project no.3, 4, 10 to 16 i.e. 21 years | |
| in | Experience as Tunnel Ventilation Expert or similar capacity in construction supervision of tunnel (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) projects of minimum length of 1.94 km (Min 1 year experience in project) | 15 | 10 | 15 | 0 |
| 11) | <3 projects - 0 3 projects - 10 | | 10 | 10 | |
| | Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional | | 10 | 10 | |
| | projects subject to maximum 5 (five) marks | | | 5 | |
| Note:- | | | Project no. 1, 2, 3 considered. NOT CONSIDERED:- Project no. 4,5- tunnel length < 1.94 Km | Project no. 4, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 | |
| | Experience as Tunnel Ventilation Expert or similar capacity in design/DPR of tunnel (Road/Rail/Metro/Hydro Tunnel) projects of minimum length of 1.94 km (Min 1 year experience in project) | 15 | 10 | 15 | 0 |
| 111) | <3 projects - 0 | | 40 | | |
| | 3 projects - 10 Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional projects subject to | | 10 | 10 | |
| | maximum 5 (five) marks | | | 5 | |
| Vote:- | | | Project no. 1, 2, 3 considered. NOT CONSIDERED:- Project no. 4,5- tunnel length <1.94 Km | Project no. 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 | |
| | Employment with the Firm | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | < 1 year -0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 | > 1 year to 2 years - 2 marks | | | | |
| | > 2 years to 3 years - 3 marks | | | | |
| | > 3 years - 5 marks | | | | |
| lote:- | | | Employed with Reliance Info COM Limited | Employed with Greenko Energy Pvt Ltd | |
| .o.e:- | | | | | |
| | Total | 100 | 80 | 95 | 0 |
| | Weightage @ 75 | 7 | 5.6 | 6.65 | 0 |
| | | | | 3 | 4 |

Similar capacity considered- Senior E&M Expert, Tunnel Ventilation Expert, PROJECT MANAGER (E&M), Superintending Engineer, Chief Engineer, Executive Engineer.

| | | | | 2/2 | 4/4 |
|-----------|---|--------------|---|---|---|
| S. No. | Applicant No. | Max Marks | 1/4 | 3/4 | 4/4 |
| 140. | Name of the Applicant | | M/s Systra S.A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited i association with Meinhardt Singapor PTE. Ltd. |
| | Name of Key Personnel | | Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Bhatnagar | Mr. Indla Hema Chandrudu | Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Agarwal |
| | Date of Birth of KP's | - | 29/08/1963 | 22/05/1969 | 25/07/1967 |
| | Description | | Assessed | Assessed | Assessed |
| 1 | General Qualification | 25 | 20 | 20 | 25 |
| | I) Graduate in Civil Engineering | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| | II) Post-Graduate in Law/ Dispute Resolution/Contract Management | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| ote:- | | | | 2 | |
| 2 | Adequacy for the Project | 75 | | | |
| | Total Professional Experience in Contract Management | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| | <20 years - 0 | | | | |
| a i) | 20 years - 15 marks | | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| | Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks | | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| ote:- | | | Project no. 1 to 15,16, 17,18,19,22,23, 24 i.e. 29 years | Project no. 1 to 23 i.e. 25 years 5 months | Project no. 2 to 24 i.e. 27 years |
| | Experience as Contract Expert or similar capacity in major roads/ highway projects | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 |
| b i) | < 10 years - 0 | | | | |
| ו ס | 10 years - 10 marks | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| | Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks | | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| lote:- | , | | Project no. 8 to 15, 17, 18, 19, 21 to 24 i.e. 16 years 6 month | Project no. 5, 7 to 9, 11 to 15, 17, 19 to 23 i.e. 15 years 9 month | Project no. 3,5,9, 11, 14 to 22 i.e. 14 years 4 mont considered. NOTO CONSIDERED: Pr. No. 1 to 8,12 · no similar capacity. Pr. No. 10,13 · no highway work. |
| 2011 K | Experience of contract management of major roads/ highway projects over Rs 500 crore including experience of handling variation orders, claims of the | 15 | 12 | 15 | 0 |
| - :\ | contractor | | | | |
| : 1) | < 4 projects - 0 | | | | 0 |
| | 4 projects - 12 | | 12 | 12 | |
| | Add 1 mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 3 (three) marks | | 0 | 3 | |
| ote:- | | | Project no. 15, 17,20,21 | Project no. 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 23 | Project no.17, 19 |
| | Experience of Handling Arbitration cases/Dispute resolution/Adjudication in respect of any road/highway project | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| c ii) | 1 project - 10 marks | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| | Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 10 (ten) marks | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| ote:- | | | Project no. 9, 13,15,20, 24 | Project no. 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 | Project no. 9, 16, 17 |
| | Employment with the Firm | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | < 1 year -0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | > 1 year to 2 years - 2 marks | | | | |
| | > 2 years to 3 years - 3 marks | | | | |
| | > 3 years - 5 marks | | | | |
| ote:- | | | Employed with Yogma | Employed with Wadia Yechno Engineering Services Ltd | Employed with ICT Pvt Ltd |
| | Total | 100 | 87 | 90 | 79 |
| | Weightage @ 75 | 3 | 2.61 | 2.7 | 2.37 |
| | | • | 1 | 3 | 4 |

Similar capacity considered- Contract Specialist, Contract Expert, Contract Engineer.



| | INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL (SCADA) EXPERT | | | | |
|------|--|------|---|---|---|
| s. | Applicant No. | Max | | 3/4 | 4/4 |
| No. | Name of the Applicant | Mark | M/s Systra S.A. in association with PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | M/s Energy Infratech Private Limited in association with Meinhardt Singapore PT Ltd. |
| | Name of Key Personnel | | Mr. Sumanto Dutta | Mr. Anurag Swain | Mr. Karamvir Singh |
| | Date of Birth of KP's | | 12-08-60 | 07-01-65 | 29/08/1970 |
| 1 | Description General Qualification | 25 | Assessed | Assessed | Assessed |
| 1 | I) Graduate in IT/ Comp Sc./ Electrical/ Electronics/Civil/ | 25 | 20 | 25 | 20 |
| | Instrumentation/ Mechanical | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| | II) Post graduate Engineering | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| te:- | - | | | 3. | |
| 2 | Adequacy for the Project | 75 | | | |
| 8 | Total Professional Experience | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| | < 15 years - 0 | 13 | 13 | | - 13 |
| :1 | 15 years - 12 marks | | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 1) | Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience | - | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| | subject to maximum 3 (three) marks | | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| te:- | | | Project no. 1 to 17 i.e. 33 years | Project no. 1 to 11 i.e. 26 years 4 month | Project no. 3 to 16 i.e.19 years |
| | Experience in system requirement, installation and commissioning of all the subsystems and equipment required for remote operation and control devices similar to SCADA in any infrastructure project. | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| ii) | < 10 years - 0 | | 0 | | |
| | 10 years - 15 marks | | | 15 | 15 |
| | Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional year of | | | 10 | 10 |
| te:- | | | NOT CONSIDERED: 1 to 5,7,8,10 to 15-Experience in system requirement, installation and commissioning of all the subsystems and equipment required for remote operation and control devices similar to SCADA in any infrastructure project. | Project no. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 i.e. 23 years 8 month | Project no. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 i.e. 18 yes |
| | Experience in at least 7 years experience in hardware configuration, system tuning, application development, documentation including operation and maintenance | 15 | 0 | 15 | 15 |
| | < 7 years - 0 | | | | |
| i) | | - | 0 | | |
| | 7 years - 10 | - | | 10 | 10 |
| | Add 1.25 marks extra for each additional year of | | | 10 | 10 |
| | experience subject to maximum of 5 marks | | | 5 | 5 |
| te:- | | | Experience in at least 7 years experience in hardware onfiguration, system tuning, application development, ocumentation including operation and maintenance, not shown. | Project no. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 i.e. 23 years 8 month | Project no. 1, 3, 5,6,7,8,11,13,14 and 15 i.e. 16 years considered. NOT CONSIDERED: Experience in hardware configuration, system tuning, application development, documentation including operat and maintenance is not shown in other projects. |
| | Experience in handling at least 2 surveillance and security systems projects | 15 | 10 | 15 | 15 |
| 1) | 2 project - 10 marks | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| | Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to | | | 5 | 5 |
| e:- | maximum 5 (Five) marks. | | Project no. 9, 7 NOT CONSIDERED: Experience in handling at least 2 surveillance and security systems | Project no. 3, 4, 15, 11 | Project no. 3, 5,7, 11, 16 |
| | Carala and with the Circu | | projects not shown. | | |
| | Employment with the Firm | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | < 1 year -0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | > 1 year to 2 years - 2 marks | | | | |
| | > 2 years to 3 years - 3 marks | | | | |
| | > 3 years - 5 marks | | | | |
| e:- | | | Employed with FreeLance Consultant | Employed with Home Power Consultancy Ltd | Employed with Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt Ltd |
| | Total | 100 | 45 | 95 | 90 |
| | Weightage @ 75 | 5 | 2.25 | 4.75 | 4.5 |
| | -00 | | 1 | 3 | 4 |

ATS &

ERECHP

Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of Stand-alone Ring Road / Bypass around Jammu City in the state of J&K (4 Lane, Length-58.2Km) on Engineering Procurement and Construction (EPC) Basis.

Name & DoB. Of Key Personnel

| | Applicant No. | 1/4 | | 2/4 | 3/4 | 4/4 |
|----------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| S.No. | Key Position: | M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s PEMS Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | M/s AYESA In _i S.A.U. (Ayesa) Infrastructur | M/s AYESA Ingenieria Y Arquitecture S.A.U. (Ayesa) In association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. | M/s Tpf Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (RODIC) | M/s Energy Infratech Pvt. Ltd. In association with Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. |
| н | Team Leader Cum Senior Tunnel | Mr. Apostolos Dimos | Mr. v | Mr. Vinay Khetarpal | Mr. Nikolaos Vittis | Mr. Nikolaos Kostopoulous |
| í l | Expert | 04-09-1959 | 2 | 27/12/1965 | 10-11-58 | 26/03/1958 |
| ć | Resident Engineer cum Excavation | Mr. Sindhu Mishra | Mr. As | Mr. Ashok Kumar Roy | Mr.Lalit Kumar Verma | Mr. Rayees Ahmed |
| ٧ | Specialist | 16/10/1970 | æ | 30/01/1967 | 26/08/1967 | 20/11/1974 |
| c | T locindad Too Deciso | Mr. Christos Gioldasis | Mr. Sin | Mr. Sindu Kumar Bagati | Mr. Konstantinos Stergiopoulos | Mr. Rocky Tay |
| n | Seniol Geo- Technical Experi | 09-05-1963 | 1 | 19/10/1970 | 07-01-1961 | 20/01/1957 |
| • | - | Mr. Nishith Gupta | Ā. | Mr. Honey Mehra | Mr. Jaime Qtazua | Mr. Hussein Ahmed Faizi |
| t | runner Design Engineer | 19/05/1966 | | 28-11-69 | 11-09-1966 | 27/03/1958 |
| L | T | Mr. Turki Mohammad Said | Mr. | Mr. M G Mohan | Mr. Shamsha Ali | Mr. Janardhanamvaratharajan |
| n | idiliei salety Expert | 15/01/1958 | b | 01-06-1968 | 09-01-1971 | 09-01-1961 |
| · | | Mr. Dilgeer Singh | Mr. Aj | Mr. Ajit Kumar Swain | Mr. Rajnish Kumar Sharma | Mr. Neeraj Sahu |
| ٥ | Tunnel Ventilation cum Eckivi Expert | 11-03-1972 | 2 | 23/01/1967 | 16/03/1956 | 04-09-1974 |
| 1 | | Mr. Jang Bahadur Choudhary | Mr. Gaje | Mr. Gajendra Pratap Singh | Mr. Sarvesh Misra | Mr. Pankaj Punetha |
| ` | senior deologist | 15/11/1971 | 1 | 10-07-1975 | 13/01/1955 | 08-10-1956 |
| o | 11000 | Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Bhatnagar | Mr. | Mr. Dipak Kumar | Mr. Indla Hema Chandrudu | Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Agarwal |
| 0 | Contract Specialist | 29/08/1963 | М | 31/05/1971 | 22/05/1969 | 25/07/1967 |
| c | Instrumentation & Control (SCADA) | Mr. Sumanto Dutta | Mr. Bi | Mr. Biju C Gopakumar | Mr. Anurag Swain | Mr. Karamvir Singh |
| ת | Expert | 08-12-1960 | 7 | 25/05/1970 | 01-07-1965 | 29/08/1970 |
| | | | | T | | |